ASRS Database Report Set

Emergency Medical Service Incidents

| Report Set Description | A sampling of reports concerning Emergency Medical Service (EMS) incidents. |
|---|---|
| Update Number | .24 |
| Date of Update | .March 7, 2024 |
| Number of Records in Report Set | .50 |
| Records within this Report Set have been screened to assure their relevance to the topic. | |

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Ames Research Center Moffett Field, CA 94035-1000



TH: 262-7

MEMORANDUM FOR: Recipients of Aviation Safety Reporting System Data

SUBJECT: Data Derived from ASRS Reports

The attached material is furnished pursuant to a request for data from the NASA Aviation Safety Reporting System (ASRS). Recipients of this material are reminded when evaluating these data of the following points.

ASRS reports are submitted voluntarily. Such incidents are independently submitted and are not corroborated by NASA, the FAA or NTSB. The existence in the ASRS database of reports concerning a specific topic cannot, therefore, be used to infer the prevalence of that problem within the National Airspace System.

Information contained in reports submitted to ASRS may be clarified by further contact with the individual who submitted them, but the information provided by the reporter is not investigated further. Such information represents the perspective of the specific individual who is describing their experience and perception of a safety related event.

After preliminary processing, all ASRS reports are de-identified and the identity of the individual who submitted the report is permanently eliminated. All ASRS report processing systems are designed to protect identifying information submitted by reporters; including names, company affiliations, and specific times of incident occurrence. After a report has been de-identified, any verification of information submitted to ASRS would be limited.

The National Aeronautics and Space Administration and its ASRS current contractor, Booz Allen Hamilton, specifically disclaim any responsibility for any interpretation which may be made by others of any material or data furnished by NASA in response to queries of the ASRS database and related materials.

BHoory

Becky L. Hooey, Director NASA Aviation Safety Reporting System

CAVEAT REGARDING USE OF ASRS DATA

Certain caveats apply to the use of ASRS data. All ASRS reports are voluntarily submitted, and thus cannot be considered a measured random sample of the full population of like events. For example, we receive several thousand altitude deviation reports each year. This number may comprise over half of all the altitude deviations that occur, or it may be just a small fraction of total occurrences.

Moreover, not all pilots, controllers, mechanics, flight attendants, dispatchers or other participants in the aviation system are equally aware of the ASRS or may be equally willing to report. Thus, the data can reflect **reporting biases**. These biases, which are not fully known or measurable, may influence ASRS information. A safety problem such as near midair collisions (NMACs) may appear to be more highly concentrated in area "A" than area "B" simply because the airmen who operate in area "A" are more aware of the ASRS program and more inclined to report should an NMAC occur. Any type of subjective, voluntary reporting will have these limitations related to quantitative statistical analysis.

One thing that can be known from ASRS data is that the number of reports received concerning specific event types represents the **lower measure** of the true number of such events that are occurring. For example, if ASRS receives 881 reports of track deviations in 2010 (this number is purely hypothetical), then it can be known with some certainty that at least 881 such events have occurred in 2010. With these statistical limitations in mind, we believe that the **real power** of ASRS data is the **qualitative information** contained in **report narratives**. The pilots, controllers, and others who report tell us about aviation safety incidents and situations in detail – explaining what happened, and more importantly, **why** it happened. Using report narratives effectively requires an extra measure of study, but the knowledge derived is well worth the added effort.

Report Synopses

ACN: 2044287 (1 of 50)

Synopsis

A Tower Controller reported they had to vector an IFR helicopter that departed from a nearby hospital below the minimum vectoring altitude to avoid conflicting traffic.

ACN: 2034525 (2 of 50)

Synopsis

An air carrier pilot on short final reported a NMAC and a TCAS/RA with a helicopter crossing underneath them.

ACN: 2031394 (3 of 50)

Synopsis

A Tower Controller reported a helicopter on an approach in marginal weather was disoriented and deviated from the approach course and below the published altitudes, causing Tower to receive a low altitude alert.

ACN: 2030172 (4 of 50)

Synopsis

A Center Controller reported a departing air ambulance flight on initial climb turned back to the departure airport flying below the minimum IFR altitude and in conflict with an arriving air carrier.

ACN: 2025467 (5 of 50)

Synopsis

Flight instructor reported a near miss with a helicopter while entering the traffic pattern at a non-towered airport during a training flight. The instructor took evasive action to avoid the helicopter.

ACN: 2024143 (6 of 50)

Synopsis

General aviation pilot reported a near miss with a helicopter after landing at RAP airport during taxi in. The helicopter was cleared to depart from a taxiway with limited visibility from the Tower and the helicopter passed just in front of the pilot on the taxiway as he stopped immediately.

ACN: 2023530 (7 of 50)

Synopsis

Center Controller reported aircraft experienced communications and autopilot malfunctions resulting in an altitude excursion and NORDO event.

ACN: 2020704 (8 of 50)

Synopsis

Air taxi Captain reported during departure taxi another aircraft taxied toward them at a high rate of speed and did not give way as instructed by ATC. The Captain applied brakes firmly to avoid a collision.

ACN: 2019518 (9 of 50)

Synopsis

A TRACON Controller reported a departing air ambulance failed to turn as depicted on the SID and flew below the minimum vectoring altitude.

ACN: 2019223 (10 of 50)

Synopsis

An A11 TRACON Controller reported a VFR aircraft not communicating with ATC flew into conflict with two separate aircraft conducting instrument approaches. One of the conflicts was a NMAC.

ACN: 2015262 (11 of 50)

Synopsis

S-76 EMS pilot reported after asking ATC to cross through their airspace on a medical mission, the controller asked the pilot to remain a mile north of the runway. Traversing the area, the EMS pilot needed to use evasive action to avoid an aircraft departing the runway.

ACN: 2011135 (12 of 50)

Synopsis

Helicopter pilot reported flying in a non-airworthy aircraft when maintenance logbooks indicated work compliance and aircraft was airworthy. Maintenance signed off on repairs and inspections that were observed to have not been completed.

ACN: 2008212 (13 of 50)

Synopsis

MBB-BK117 pilot reported the rotor wash of the helicopter while performing a hover taxi over the taxiway caused a nearby aircraft that was fueling to move. The other aircraft did not have a parking brake function and the wheels were not chocked.

ACN: 2007226 (14 of 50)

Synopsis

Center Controller reported unable to communicate with Helicopter, resulted in aircraft entering a higher MVA and a CFTT event.

ACN: 1998428 (15 of 50)

Synopsis

SLC Tower Controller reported Aircraft X lost radio contact and deviated from clearance which resulted in an MVA alert and conflict with traffic.

ACN: 1996747 (16 of 50)

Synopsis

An air ambulance helicopter pilot approaching to land at an automobile accident site reported a NMAC with a fixed wing aircraft.

ACN: 1993430 (17 of 50)

Synopsis

BNA Tower Controller reported a helicopter passed directly underneath an air carrier that was on final approach.

ACN: 1990658 (18 of 50)

Synopsis

BNA Tower Controller reported they vectored a Medical Flight helicopter and departing air carrier away from an unidentified VFR aircraft orbiting just outside of their Class C airspace.

ACN: 1983593 (19 of 50)

Synopsis

Learjet 35 Captain reported an anti skid failure caused the failure of main landing gear tires on take off. The flight crew elected to divert and make a precautionary landing.

ACN: 1975342 (20 of 50)

Synopsis

A TRACON Controller reported they received a Low Altitude Alert when an aircraft descended below a crossing restriction on an approach.

ACN: 1962098 (21 of 50)

Synopsis

MEDEVAC Helicopter pilot reported a VFR into IMC conditions event after the weather deteriorated faster than expected requiring an immediate climb to return to VFR conditions.

ACN: 1960647 (22 of 50)

Synopsis

Tower Controller reported issuing an instruction to fly runway heading prior to instructing the aircraft to execute the published missed approach, which was a violation of the MVA.

ACN: 1957273 (23 of 50)

Synopsis

S76 Medevac Captain reported aborting takeoff from taxiway after observing NORDO traffic on take-off which resulted in a NMAC.

ACN: 1945582 (24 of 50)

Synopsis

A Center Controller reported they issued a direct routing to a helicopter which placed it 100 ft. below the Minimum Enroute Altitude.

ACN: 1943774 (25 of 50)

Synopsis

Helicopter pilot reported a NMAC with a light aircraft in the vicinity of ISP airport.

ACN: 1922114 (26 of 50)

Synopsis

TRACON Controller reported an aircraft on vectors to avoid special use airspace descended below their assigned altitude and below the Minimum Vectoring Altitude.

ACN: 1920301 (27 of 50)

Synopsis

Pilot reported they were unsure of which heading to fly during departure in IMC and flew into an area below the minimum IFR vectoring altitude.

ACN: 1917355 (28 of 50)

Synopsis

Captain reported concerns over operation of an aircraft with an out of date NAV database that should have been deferred. The aircraft was later given the correct MEL as required.

ACN: 1913346 (29 of 50)

Synopsis

Helicopter pilot reported an NMAC during departure with a military fighter doing a high speed pass over the runway. Tower controller issued helicopter a takeoff clearance which put them into the flight path of the fighter.

ACN: 1909401 (30 of 50)

Synopsis

King Air C90 E90 pilot reported during approach the autopilot was inadvertently disconnected, resulting in an excursion from assigned altitude and a low altitude warning from ATC.

ACN: 1905056 (31 of 50)

Synopsis

Helicopter pilot reported VHF Radio interference at night while landing at the Shady Grove Hospital in Rockville, Maryland creates communications difficulties during a critical phase of flight. This is a recurring issue, reportedly caused by faulty ground lighting at the Landing Zone.

ACN: 1895808 (32 of 50)

Synopsis

Helicopter Captain reported while attempting to land on the helipad, a person was standing near the landing area, requiring the pilot to execute a missed approach and go-around.

ACN: 1894727 (33 of 50)

Synopsis

A Denver Center Controller reported Denver TRACON would not approve direct routing to destination for a Medevac flight requesting priority handling. The Center Controller reported this is a recurring issue and TRACON policy.

ACN: 1888981 (34 of 50)

Synopsis

Helicopter pilot reported a NMAC with another aircraft that appeared to be intentionally following them.

ACN: 1883808 (35 of 50)

Synopsis

PC-12 pilot reported they switched NAV sources which caused the auto pilot to disconnect resulting in the aircraft descending too low on the approach and a Ground Proximity Warning along with an ATC Low Altitude Alert.

ACN: 1882418 (36 of 50)

Synopsis

ZKC Controller reported not being able to let a Medevac aircraft go direct to an airport due to D01 Approach control not letting them. Reportedly, this is standard procedure and reporter expressed concern about the confusion it creates.

ACN: 1879105 (37 of 50)

Synopsis

Air ambulance jet pilot reported multiple navigation equipment failures and diverted to a nearby airport.

ACN: 1875909 (38 of 50)

Synopsis

Eurocopter AS 350 pilot reported low battery voltage caused an engine over temperature on start. The engine start was discontinued and maintenance was notified.

ACN: 1873909 (39 of 50)

Synopsis

Pilot reported a NMAC with a MEDEVAC helicopter.

ACN: 1861662 (40 of 50)

Synopsis

Pilot reported while inbound to OSU, ATC instructed a turn to the west, which resulted in a NMAC with an aircraft in pattern.

ACN: 1860001 (41 of 50)

Synopsis

Helicopter Pilot reported that while inbound for landing they did not stop the aircraft as directed by ATC, resulting in an airborne conflict with a jet landing on another runway.

ACN: 1857902 (42 of 50)

Synopsis

Helicopter pilot reported not requesting a Special VFR clearance upon initial call to ATC to enter Class C airspace after the weather had gone below VFR conditions.

ACN: 1854017 (43 of 50)

Synopsis

Helicopter Pilot reported an airspace incursion occurred when they flew through Class D airspace thinking it was Class E.

ACN: 1849787 (44 of 50)

Synopsis

Denver Center Controllers reported Medevac flights requesting expedited routings and priority handling into APA are being denied by Denver TRACON.

ACN: 1846379 (45 of 50)

Synopsis

Denver Center Controllers reported problems when trying to get priority handling with Denver TRACON on two MEDEVAC aircraft.

ACN: 1846365 (46 of 50)

Synopsis

Denver Center Controller reported an ongoing problem with Denver TRACON not approving direct routing for MEDEVAC aircraft, to help expedite the aircraft.

ACN: 1846363 (47 of 50)

Synopsis

Denver Center Controllers reported problems with MEDEVAC aircraft and coordination with D01 TRACON.

ACN: 1846356 (48 of 50)

Synopsis

Denver TRACON Controller reported a problem associated with Denver Center and how the two facilities work MEDEVAC aircraft.

ACN: 1846353 (49 of 50)

Synopsis

D01 TRACON Controller reported issues with a MEDEVAC aircraft not being able to get an expedited routing and becoming a conflict with another aircraft.

ACN: 1841860 (50 of 50)

Synopsis

Air ambulance helicopter pilot reported that prior to engine start and while waiting to depart the hospital helipad, a critical ground conflict occurred when another helicopter attempted to land at the same location. The reporter cited, as contributing, that dispatch had not notified the crew of the inbound helicopter

Report Narratives

Time / Day

Date : 202310 Local Time Of Day : 1201-1800

Place

Locale Reference.Airport : ZZZ.Airport State Reference : US Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 2200

Aircraft

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Tower : ZZZ Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Helicopter Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Initial Climb Airspace.Class C : ZZZ

Person

Location Of Person.Facility : ZZZ.Tower Reporter Organization : Government Function.Air Traffic Control : Ground Function.Air Traffic Control : Local Function.Air Traffic Control : Flight Data / Clearance Delivery Qualification.Air Traffic Control : Fully Certified ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 2044287 Human Factors : Confusion Human Factors : Situational Awareness Human Factors : Workload

Events

Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Clearance Anomaly.Inflight Event / Encounter : CFTT / CFIT Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control When Detected : In-flight Result.Flight Crew : Requested ATC Assistance / Clarification Result.Air Traffic Control : Issued New Clearance

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Airspace Structure Contributing Factors / Situations : Chart Or Publication Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Procedure

Narrative: 1

I was working local/ground/clearance/flight data combined with a stand-alone CIC (Controller in Charge) in the tower cab. Helicopter landed at ZZZ hospital. After they completed whatever business they had there they called ZZZ clearance for their IFR clearance to ZZZ1. ZZZ is an uncontrolled helipad at one of the local hospitals. I was unsure what exactly this meant for me when they called up ready for departure. I got confirmation they would maintain their own terrain obstruction until 2200 MSL because that is our MVA. I told them departure would be at their own risk. On departure before they got to 2200 MSL I had to vector them from their direct, approximate heading 158, to a heading of 180 for incoming traffic to Runway XX. They were at approximately 1200 MSL when they were vectored. There was no loss of separation between the incoming aircraft and the helicopter. I was uncomfortable with a helicopter departing the hospital's helipad as IFR. That seems to be more of an approach control function more than a tower function. I have never seen this, and the CIC in the back said he has never seen this situation before either. Suggestion: I have no recommendations from this event. This is a rare scenario that I, nor the CIC had ever seen before. After talking to the CIC in the back there were other avenues I could have taken, such as departing the helicopter VFR and giving him the IFR clearance in the air.

Synopsis

A Tower Controller reported they had to vector an IFR helicopter that departed from a nearby hospital below the minimum vectoring altitude to avoid conflicting traffic.

ACN: 2034525 (2 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202309 Local Time Of Day : 1801-2400

Place

Locale Reference.ATC Facility : ZZZ.Tower State Reference : US Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 1500

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC

Aircraft: 1

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Tower : ZZZ Aircraft Operator : Air Carrier Make Model Name : EMB ERJ 170/175 ER/LR Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 121 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Passenger Flight Phase : Initial Approach Airspace.Class B : ZZZ

Aircraft: 2

Reference : Y Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Helicopter Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : VFR Mission : Ambulance Airspace.Class B : ZZZ

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Reporter Organization : Air Carrier Function.Flight Crew : Check Pilot Qualification.Flight Crew : Instrument Qualification.Flight Crew : Multiengine Qualification.Flight Crew : Air Transport Pilot (ATP) ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 2034525 Human Factors : Confusion Human Factors : Distraction Human Factors : Distraction Human Factors : Time Pressure Human Factors : Workload

Events

Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Conflict : NMAC Detector.Automation : Aircraft RA Detector.Person : Flight Crew When Detected : In-flight Result.Flight Crew : FLC complied w / Automation / Advisory Result.Flight Crew : Took Evasive Action Result.Air Traffic Control : Issued Advisory / Alert

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Procedure

Narrative: 1

We were cleared for the ILS Runway XXR into ZZZ. We were coupled to the LOC and GS. At approximately 1,500 ft. we received a TCAS traffic advisory. Moments later we received a RA warning LEVEL OFF. I disengaged the auto pilot and stopped the descent. At the same time Tower advised us of a MEDEVAC helicopter crossing our approach path below us. I looked out and saw the helicopter directly in front of us only a couple hundred feet below. We informed Tower that we had responded to a RA triggered by the helicopter. After we were clear of conflict, Tower cleared us to proceed visually. We were able to reestablish the approach and land without any additional issues. ATC cleared a helicopter to traverse the Class B airspace too close to the approach path for Runway XXR. More separation between approach paths and crossing traffic in Class B airspace.

Synopsis

An air carrier pilot on short final reported a NMAC and a TCAS/RA with a helicopter crossing underneath them.

ACN: 2031394 (3 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202309 Local Time Of Day : 1201-1800

Place

Locale Reference.ATC Facility : ZZZ.Tower State Reference : US Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 600

Environment

Flight Conditions : Marginal

Aircraft

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Tower : ZZZ Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Helicopter Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 3 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Final Approach Airspace.Class D : ZZZ Airspace.Class E : ZZZ

Person

Location Of Person.Facility : ZZZ.TWR Reporter Organization : Government Function.Air Traffic Control : Local Qualification.Air Traffic Control : Fully Certified Experience.Air Traffic Control.Time Certified In Pos 1 (yrs) : 6 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 2031394 Human Factors : Time Pressure Human Factors : Workload Human Factors : Confusion

Events

Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Deviation - Altitude : Overshoot Anomaly.Deviation - Track / Heading : All Types Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Clearance Anomaly.Inflight Event / Encounter : CFTT / CFIT Detector.Automation : Air Traffic Control Detector.Person : Flight Crew Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control When Detected : In-flight Result.Flight Crew : Requested ATC Assistance / Clarification Result.Flight Crew : Became Reoriented Result.Air Traffic Control : Provided Assistance Result.Air Traffic Control : Issued New Clearance Result.Air Traffic Control : Issued Advisory / Alert

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Chart Or Publication Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Contributing Factors / Situations : Weather Primary Problem : Human Factors

Narrative: 1

Aircraft X was inbound to ZZZ on the approach due to bad weather. They never checked on and when they were around 4 miles, I attempted to reach out to the helicopter because they had a low altitude alert. 600 ft. at 4 miles from the airport. Without knowing if they were on my frequency, I issued the altimeter and attempted to reach them again. No response from the helicopter so I reached out the East sector at ZZZ and they replied that they were going to switch him. The pilot was visibly disoriented and never was even close to being on the approach or at a safe altitude. When the pilot finally arrived to my frequency, they were about 2.5 miles from the field, still very disoriented, had them climb, and issued additional altimeter settings. ZZZ needs to pay attention. Very unsafe and nonchalant attitude when advised about an aircraft that was low and not even on the approach.

Synopsis

A Tower Controller reported a helicopter on an approach in marginal weather was disoriented and deviated from the approach course and below the published altitudes, causing Tower to receive a low altitude alert.

ACN: 2030172 (4 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202308 Local Time Of Day : 0001-0600

Place

Locale Reference.ATC Facility : ZZZ.ARTCC State Reference : US Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 8000

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC

Aircraft: 1

Reference : X Aircraft Operator : Air Carrier Make Model Name : Commercial Fixed Wing Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 121 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Passenger Flight Phase : Descent Route In Use : Visual Approach

Aircraft: 2

Reference : Y Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Small Aircraft, Low Wing, 1 Eng, Retractable Gear Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Climb Route In Use : None Airspace.Class E : ZZZ

Person

Location Of Person.Facility : ZZZ.ARTCC Reporter Organization : Government Function.Air Traffic Control : Enroute Qualification.Air Traffic Control : Fully Certified Experience.Air Traffic Control.Time Certified In Pos 1 (yrs) : 13 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 2030172 Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Human Factors : Confusion Human Factors : Distraction Human Factors : Time Pressure Human Factors : Workload Human Factors : Situational Awareness Communication Breakdown.Party1 : ATC Communication Breakdown.Party2 : Flight Crew

Events

Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Conflict : Airborne Conflict Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Anomaly.Inflight Event / Encounter : CFTT / CFIT Detector.Person : Flight Crew Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control When Detected : In-flight Result.Flight Crew : Returned To Departure Airport Result.Flight Crew : Requested ATC Assistance / Clarification Result.Flight Crew : Overcame Equipment Problem Result.Air Traffic Control : Provided Assistance Result.Air Traffic Control : Issued New Clearance

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Airspace Structure Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Procedure

Narrative: 1

I was working [Sector] X and Y combined ground up due to low staffing. Aircraft X was inbound to ZZZ on a visual approach. There was a VFR aircraft climbing south of the airport. I called the traffic. The traffic called up as Aircraft Y requesting IFR. I issued a squawk and told him to maintain VFR as I had an aircraft on a visual. Aircraft Y was southeast of the airport when I thought I heard him say he would stay clear of the arrival path. I was distracted by ZZZ1 arrivals in sector X. I cleared Aircraft Y to his destination, I believe I gave him the clearance leaving [Flight Level] 100. He did not read it back and I asked if he received it. He then stated that he was returning to ZZZ due to an issue. I did not understand what he said the issue was. I canceled Aircraft X's clearance and told them to maintain 080. I think I then issued a heading. By this time Aircraft Y was below the MIA but because I had already issued a clearance I felt like I had to give him an IFR clearance to ZZZ. I cleared him direct and asked if he had the field in sight. When he affirmed I cleared him to a visual. In the confusion I forgot to verify weather or notams. Aircraft Y canceled IFR and I gave Aircraft X the visual but by then they had to maneuver to descend. Suggestion: Verify the request of an aircraft before issuing IFR clearance. Increase staffing so that sectors aren't combined during an arrival rush

Synopsis

A Center Controller reported a departing air ambulance flight on initial climb turned back to the departure airport flying below the minimum IFR altitude and in conflict with an arriving air carrier.

Time / Day

Date : 202308 Local Time Of Day : 1201-1800

Place

Locale Reference.Airport : SMD.Airport State Reference : IN Relative Position.Distance.Nautical Miles : 1 Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 1800

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC Weather Elements / Visibility.Visibility : 6 Light : Daylight Ceiling.Single Value : 5000

Aircraft: 1

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.UNICOM : SMD Aircraft Operator : FBO Make Model Name : Small Aircraft, Low Wing, 1 Eng, Fixed Gear Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 91 Flight Plan : VFR Mission : Training Flight Phase : Initial Approach Airspace.Class E : SMD

Aircraft: 2

Reference : Y ATC / Advisory.UNICOM : SMD Make Model Name : Helicopter Mission : Ambulance Airspace.Class E : SMD

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Reporter Organization : FBO Function.Flight Crew : Instructor Qualification.Flight Crew : Flight Instructor Qualification.Flight Crew : Instrument Experience.Flight Crew.Total : 600 Experience.Flight Crew.Last 90 Days : 250 Experience.Flight Crew.Type : 300 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 2025467 Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Human Factors : Training / Qualification Human Factors : Situational Awareness Communication Breakdown.Party1 : Flight Crew Communication Breakdown.Party2 : Other

Events

Anomaly.Conflict : NMAC Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Detector.Person : Flight Crew Miss Distance.Horizontal : 250 Miss Distance.Vertical : 250 When Detected : In-flight Result.Flight Crew : Took Evasive Action

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Airspace Structure Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Airspace Structure

Narrative: 1

I am a flight instructor and we were completing a normal lesson and coming back in for the final landing. We entered the traffic pattern properly executing a midfield cross for the left downwind runway 23 (SMD). We have class Charlie airspace directly above the airport which prevents the tear drop entry to the traffic pattern for all runways. All runways at SMD are left hand traffic patterns. We entered the pattern properly and once we were established in the left downwind for runway 23 we noticed a medevac helicopter who had just departed the hospital north of the field fly opposite direction in the downwind. We made an evasive steep turn to the right to avoid the helicopter. We had roughly 250 feet horizontal and 250 feet or less vertical separation as stated above. This is not the first time this happened with the local medevac helicopters operating out of the hospital north of the field. The are constantly flying in the downwind of the active runway opposite direction between 1500-1700 feet MSL. Our traffic pattern altitude is 1800 feet MSL at the field. I personally have encountered them 3 separate times being too close but this one was first time we had a near miss and had to actually take action to avoid them. I do not have the type of rotorcraft or any specifics other then it is called Aircraft Y and operates the hospitals around the ZZZ area.

Synopsis

Flight instructor reported a near miss with a helicopter while entering the traffic pattern at a non-towered airport during a training flight. The instructor took evasive action to avoid the helicopter.

ACN: 2024143 (6 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202308 Local Time Of Day : 1201-1800

Place

Locale Reference.Airport : RAP.Airport State Reference : SD Altitude.AGL.Single Value : 0

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC Weather Elements / Visibility.Visibility : 10 Light : Daylight Ceiling.Single Value : 25000

Aircraft: 1

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Tower : RAP Aircraft Operator : Personal Make Model Name : Amateur/Home Built/Experimental Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 91 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Personal Flight Phase : Taxi Airspace.Class D : RAP

Aircraft: 2

Reference : Y ATC / Advisory.Tower : RAP Make Model Name : Helicopter Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Takeoff / Launch Airspace.Class D : RAP

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Reporter Organization : FBO Function.Flight Crew : Single Pilot Function.Flight Crew : Pilot Flying Qualification.Flight Crew : Instrument Qualification.Flight Crew : Commercial Qualification.Flight Crew : Flight Instructor Experience.Flight Crew.Total : 5050 Experience.Flight Crew.Last 90 Days : 40 Experience.Flight Crew.Type : 760 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 2024143 Human Factors : Situational Awareness Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Communication Breakdown.Party1 : Flight Crew Communication Breakdown.Party2 : ATC

Events

Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Conflict : Ground Conflict, Critical Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Detector.Person : Flight Crew Miss Distance.Horizontal : 100 Miss Distance.Vertical : 0 When Detected : Taxi Result.Flight Crew : Took Evasive Action

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Airport Contributing Factors / Situations : Airspace Structure Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Procedure

Narrative: 1

I just landed and was cleared to taxi to parking via Taxiway A. Many aircraft exit at G2. To get to my hangar. While taxiing between G2 and G1, a helicopter was cleared to depart via Taxiway A. The helicopter air taxied from the ramp and appeared to proceed with his departure just prior to reaching Taxiway A and directly in front of me, not more than 75-100 feet in front of me. I don't think he even saw me or realized I was there. I saw him just as he transitioned from air taxi to takeoff and stopped immediately. I told the Tower that what just occurred was unsafe. The Tower Controller responded that it was a helicopter and had to depart quickly. I told it it was still an unsafe situation; had I been slightly further down the taxiway, a collision could have occurred. This particular area is not visible from the Tower, and I don't think the controller saw where I was in relationship to the helicopter when she cleared him for departure. This is a very busy time for the helicopters as a huge motorcycle rally was going on with lots of flights for them. Still, when operations are rushed, that is where mistakes happen that be tragic.

Synopsis

General aviation pilot reported a near miss with a helicopter after landing at RAP airport during taxi in. The helicopter was cleared to depart from a taxiway with limited visibility from the Tower and the helicopter passed just in front of the pilot on the taxiway as he stopped immediately.

ACN: 2023530 (7 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202308 Local Time Of Day : 1801-2400

Place

Locale Reference.ATC Facility : ZZZ.ARTCC State Reference : US Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 31000

Aircraft

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Center : ZZZ Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Light Transport, Low Wing, 2 Turbojet Eng Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Climb Airspace.Class A : ZZZ

Component : 1

Aircraft Component : Air/Ground Communication Aircraft Reference : X Problem : Malfunctioning

Component: 2

Aircraft Component : Autopilot Aircraft Reference : X Problem : Malfunctioning

Person

Location Of Person.Facility: ZZZ.ARTCC Reporter Organization: Government Function.Air Traffic Control: Enroute Qualification.Air Traffic Control: Fully Certified ASRS Report Number.Accession Number: 2023530 Human Factors: Communication Breakdown Human Factors: Troubleshooting Communication Breakdown.Party1: ATC Communication Breakdown.Party2: Flight Crew

Events

Anomaly.Aircraft Equipment Problem : Critical Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Deviation - Altitude : Excursion From Assigned Altitude Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Clearance Detector.Automation : Air Traffic Control Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control Detector.Person : Flight Crew When Detected : In-flight Result.General : Maintenance Action Result.Flight Crew : Landed in Emergency Condition

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Aircraft Primary Problem : Aircraft

Narrative: 1

Aircraft X departed ZZZ for ZZZ1 as an organ flight. The X TFR was active requiring alternative routings for ZZZ1. The initial climb out was standard. The aircraft was on XXX.XX my low altitude southern frequency which is standard. I tried to switch the aircraft to YYY.YY, but he returned. Tried a few more times and we learned he was unable to tune anything. Not even guard. Near ZZZ2 the aircraft started to unexpectedly climb and when questioned said he was now having an autopilot issue. We [requested priority] on his behalf since he was having so many diverse issues. Headed northeast toward the constricted airspace with active TFR was also a concern. I do not know - it was such an atypical cacophony of events. The only helpful thing would be better pushing out of TFR routes to smaller fields.

Synopsis

Center Controller reported aircraft experienced communications and autopilot malfunctions resulting in an altitude excursion and NORDO event.

ACN: 2020704 (8 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202307 Local Time Of Day : 0601-1200

Place

Locale Reference.Airport : BOS.Airport State Reference : MA Altitude.AGL.Single Value : 0

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC

Aircraft: 1

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Ground : BOS Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Small Aircraft, Low Wing, 2 Eng, Retractable Gear Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Passenger Flight Phase : Taxi

Aircraft: 2

Reference : Y Make Model Name : Beechcraft King Air Undifferentiated or Other Model Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Taxi

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Reporter Organization : Air Taxi Function.Flight Crew : Captain Function.Flight Crew : Pilot Flying Qualification.Flight Crew : Air Transport Pilot (ATP) Experience.Flight Crew.Type : 1600 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 2020704 Human Factors : Situational Awareness Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Communication Breakdown.Party1 : Flight Crew Communication Breakdown.Party2 : ATC

Events

Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Conflict : Ground Conflict, Critical Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Detector.Person : Flight Crew When Detected : Taxi Result.Flight Crew : Took Evasive Action

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Ambiguous

Narrative: 1

We were cleared to taxi via Charlie to cross 4L and hold short of 4R. This took us across Taxiway Bravo. We were taxiing at a slow rate and conducting the before take off checklist and run up flow. Aircraft Y taxied towards us on Bravo at a high rate of speed. They had not been mentioned in our clearance. I hit the brakes. My First Officer asked the Controller which aircraft was meant to give way, and the Controller indicated that Aircraft Y was supposed to have given way to us. Since we had come to a complete stop, and to ensure our safety, we gave way to them. The Controller apologized. I believe he may have not believed we would be near each other since we were taxiing slowly and conducting a checklist, while Aircraft Y was taxiing quickly. As Aircraft Y was using a medevac call sign, he may have assumed we were giving way to him. I believe the Controller may have thought there was no chance we would approach the Bravo/Charlie intersection at the same time. He may have been over tasked as well. I did not hear Aircraft Y's clearance, I don't know if "give way to Aircraft X" was mentioned. In this case having two pilots and taxiing slowly helped to prevent a near miss. The taxi is a very high workload time, as Boston is busy and the run up, brief and checklists must be executed while maintaining a look out.

Synopsis

Air taxi Captain reported during departure taxi another aircraft taxied toward them at a high rate of speed and did not give way as instructed by ATC. The Captain applied brakes firmly to avoid a collision.

ACN: 2019518 (9 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202307 Local Time Of Day : 1801-2400

Place

Locale Reference.ATC Facility : ZZZ.TRACON State Reference : US Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 6100

Aircraft

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.TRACON : ZZZ Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Small Transport, Low Wing, 2 Turboprop Eng Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Initial Climb Route In Use.SID : ZZZZ Airspace.Class C : ZZZ

Person

Location Of Person.Facility: ZZZ.TRACON Reporter Organization : Government Function.Air Traffic Control : Approach Qualification.Air Traffic Control : Fully Certified Experience.Air Traffic Control.Time Certified In Pos 1 (yrs) : 1 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 2019518 Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Human Factors : Confusion Human Factors : Distraction Human Factors : Distraction Human Factors : Time Pressure Human Factors : Workload Human Factors : Situational Awareness Communication Breakdown.Party1 : ATC Communication Breakdown.Party2 : Flight Crew

Events

Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Deviation - Track / Heading : All Types Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Clearance Anomaly.Inflight Event / Encounter : CFTT / CFIT Detector.Automation : Air Traffic Control Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control When Detected : In-flight Result.Flight Crew : Became Reoriented Result.Air Traffic Control : Issued New Clearance

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Airspace Structure Contributing Factors / Situations : Chart Or Publication Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Airspace Structure

Narrative: 1

Aircraft X departed ZZZ Runway XX via the ZZZZZ departure. I noticed the MSAW going off immediately, but they were on the upwind and the MSAW went off at random times in and around ZZZ. I read the remarks on the strip and read Aircraft X thinking that was their call sign. I should have known better and feel like an idiot for calling them Aircraft X. I issued a low altitude alert and an expedited climb to 19000 ft. once I knew they were in an unsafe situation. I thought with radar delay that maybe it wasn't showing their turn yet and ZZZ per our Letter of Agreement (LOA) is supposed to call us if they are not in their turn by a mile from the departure end. I received no call and told them to turn toward ZZZ1 where there is lower terrain and like they were supposed to. Once they met my MVA I put them on course and then was relieved, the next Controller issued a warning. It's crap that after working 6 day work weeks and only 2 Controllers on break that this is going to be written up like it's all my fault when I am by far the most vocal person in ZZZ trying to aet supervisors and OM's to somehow make these procedures flown right. Everyone keeps thinking its only the ZZZZZ1 departure but it's now the ZZZZZ, ZZZZZ2, ILS to Runway YYL, ZZZZZ3, and ZZZZZ4 that I've had pilots try to fly into mountains and our management has done nothing to get it changed. I'm a member of the Organization and bring up ZZZ every month and nothing goes further than that. Our upper management is worried about whether relieving Controllers verbally state they have the approach or if we have more than two Controllers on break instead of worrying about safety. ZZZ is a toxic workplace. What should be happening is our facility needs to talk to the airlines and companies that update the autopilot systems in the system. There is commercial airlines saying they can't load departures and arrivals properly, but we are just letting it happen.

Synopsis

A TRACON Controller reported a departing air ambulance failed to turn as depicted on the SID and flew below the minimum vectoring altitude.

ACN: 2019223 (10 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202307 Local Time Of Day : 1801-2400

Place

Locale Reference.ATC Facility : A11.TRACON State Reference : AK Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 2000

Aircraft: 1

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.TRACON : A11 Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Small Transport, Low Wing, 2 Turboprop Eng Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Initial Approach Route In Use.Other Airspace.Class C : ANC

Aircraft: 2

Reference : Y ATC / Advisory.TRACON : A11 Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Commercial Fixed Wing Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Passenger Flight Phase : Initial Approach Airspace.Class C : ANC

Aircraft: 3

Reference : Z ATC / Advisory.TRACON : A11 Aircraft Operator : Personal Make Model Name : Small Aircraft, High Wing, 1 Eng, Fixed Gear Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 91 Flight Plan : VFR Flight Phase : Climb Airspace.Class E : A11

Person

Location Of Person.Facility : A11.TRACON Reporter Organization : Government Function.Air Traffic Control : Approach Qualification.Air Traffic Control : Fully Certified Experience.Air Traffic Control.Time Certified In Pos 1 (yrs) : 7 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 2019223 Human Factors : Workload Human Factors : Time Pressure

Events

Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Conflict : NMAC Anomaly.Conflict : Airborne Conflict Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control When Detected : In-flight Result.Air Traffic Control : Provided Assistance

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Airspace Structure Contributing Factors / Situations : Chart Or Publication Contributing Factors / Situations : Environment - Non Weather Related Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Primary Problem : Airspace Structure

Narrative: 1

Aircraft X, as usual, was not talking to approach. Climbed directly into the final. Aircraft Y passed 1 mile and 0 vertical, Aircraft Z passed directly overhead at 2500 ft., while the VFR aircraft was actively climbing out of 2100. This was the closest I've seen to a potential collision and the VFR aircraft didn't do anything wrong because we only have a Class C. This is the second conflict this week I've personally seen with this particular VFR aircraft. This aircraft is going to hit someone some day, he climbed into everyone's way.

Synopsis

An A11 TRACON Controller reported a VFR aircraft not communicating with ATC flew into conflict with two separate aircraft conducting instrument approaches. One of the conflicts was a NMAC.

Time / Day

Date : 202307 Local Time Of Day : 1201-1800

Place

Locale Reference.Airport : ZZZ.Airport State Reference : US Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 500

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC Weather Elements / Visibility.Visibility : 10 Light : Daylight Ceiling.Single Value : 12000

Aircraft: 1

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Tower : ZZZ Aircraft Operator : Air Carrier Make Model Name : S-76/S-76 Mark II Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : None Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Climb Airspace.Class D : ZZZ

Aircraft: 2

Reference : Y ATC / Advisory.Tower : ZZZ Make Model Name : Cessna 150 Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Flight Plan : None Mission : Training Flight Phase : Climb Airspace.Class D : ZZZ

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Reporter Organization : Air Taxi Function.Flight Crew : Single Pilot Function.Flight Crew : Pilot Flying Qualification.Flight Crew : Instrument Qualification.Flight Crew : Air Transport Pilot (ATP) Experience.Flight Crew.Total : 5700 Experience.Flight Crew.Last 90 Days : 50 Experience.Flight Crew.Type : 5700 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 2015262 Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Human Factors : Time Pressure Human Factors : Workload Human Factors : Situational Awareness Communication Breakdown.Party1 : Flight Crew Communication Breakdown.Party2 : ATC

Events

Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Conflict : NMAC Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Detector.Person : Flight Crew Miss Distance.Horizontal : 200 Miss Distance.Vertical : 200 Were Passengers Involved In Event : N When Detected : In-flight Result.Flight Crew : Took Evasive Action

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Primary Problem : Human Factors

Narrative: 1

We were preparing to depart a hospital helipad en route to a scene picking up the patient at an airport. ZZZ1 is approximately 3 miles west of ZZZ and inside their Class Delta airspace and our route of flight would take us directly through this airspace. Prior to departing the helipad, I called ZZZ Tower using our medevac call sign and stated we were ready to lift from the helipad, we were medevac status en route to the airport. I stated our on-course heading and requested clearance through the Class Delta airspace. The Tower Controller said he had multiple aircraft in the pattern asked if I could depart and remain 1 mile north of the departure end of Runway XX. I was surprised I didn't get a clearance under medevac status to go directly through the Class Delta airspace on course but accepted the slight deviation to get moving. The problem was the small aircraft using Runway XX were still in their normal flow and one was departing and climbing out at the same time we were crossing the centerline on the departure end of Runway XX. To provide clearance from this aircraft I had to start an immediate descent. This provided clearance but we were still in close proximity to this airplane. Nothing was said by the Tower, and I didn't say anything either since the frequency was guite busy. I feel being in medevac status we were not given any priority handling and we should not be dodging aircraft in controlled airspace if ATC is looking out for us. On a side note, shortly after all this took place, we were canceled from this flight (which happens in EMS for multiple reasons) and continued south to our home base.

Synopsis

S-76 EMS pilot reported after asking ATC to cross through their airspace on a medical mission, the controller asked the pilot to remain a mile north of the runway. Traversing the area, the EMS pilot needed to use evasive action to avoid an aircraft departing the runway.
Date : 202306 Local Time Of Day : 0601-1200

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC Light : Night

Aircraft

Reference : X Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Helicopter Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Mission : Ambulance Maintenance Status.Records Complete : N Maintenance Status.Released For Service : N Maintenance Status.Required / Correct Doc On Board : N Maintenance Status.Maintenance Type : Scheduled Maintenance Maintenance Status.Maintenance Items Involved : Inspection Maintenance Status.Maintenance Items Involved : Repair Maintenance Status.Maintenance Items Involved : Testing

Person

Location Of Person : Hangar / Base Reporter Organization : Air Taxi Function.Flight Crew : Captain Function.Flight Crew : Pilot Flying Qualification.Flight Crew : Rotorcraft Qualification.Flight Crew : Instrument Qualification.Flight Crew : Commercial ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 2011135 Human Factors : Troubleshooting Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Communication Breakdown.Party1 : Flight Crew Communication Breakdown.Party2 : Maintenance

Events

Anomaly.Aircraft Equipment Problem : Critical Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Maintenance Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : MEL / CDL Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : FAR Detector.Person : Flight Crew Result.General : Release Refused / Aircraft Not Accepted Result.General : Maintenance Action

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Aircraft Contributing Factors / Situations : Chart Or Publication Contributing Factors / Situations : Manuals Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Procedure

Narrative: 1

On Date 1 and Date 2 I flew the aircraft on normal interfacility transfers. All logbook entries, maintenance and signoffs etc were apparently correct and current. However, days after the last flight, through conversations between another pilot and a mechanic we found that maintenance items on this aircraft were not complied with. There was torgue sensor maintenance done by mechanics that hadn't been documented in the logbooks for the aircraft. There was a main rotor mast uniball inspection that wasn't performed but signed off in the logbook by mechanics and there was work on the pitot static system due to a blockage and subsequent replacement of the steam gauge airspeed indicator done by mechanics. An operational and leak check on the pitot system was signed off but not performed. The main rotor mast uniball inspection was signed off in the logs but according to eye witness not performed, and subsequent torque writeups that are associated with that inspection were never entered into the logbook. Additionally the aircraft should have been put out of service for that inspection which wasn't done either. Due to the uncertainty of maintenance performed and/or missing follow up torque checks and the fact that the aircraft was flown by me after those inspections/work performed or not, there is a possibility that I unknowingly overflew either the uniball inspection or the associated torque checks. At the time of flight all logbook entries were current and complied with, which is why I was certain that the aircraft was in an airworthy condition. I want to emphasize that all these findings came to light several days after the flights had happened and that at the time of flight the aircraft - to my best knowledge and information available to me - was current and in airworthy condition. Only way I could have known or determined at the time of flight that the aircraft might not have been in compliance and airworthy.

Synopsis

Helicopter pilot reported flying in a non-airworthy aircraft when maintenance logbooks indicated work compliance and aircraft was airworthy. Maintenance signed off on repairs and inspections that were observed to have not been completed.

Date : 202306 Local Time Of Day : 1201-1800

Place

Locale Reference.Airport : ZZZ.Airport State Reference : US Altitude.AGL.Single Value : 0

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC Light : Daylight

Aircraft: 1

Reference : X Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : MBB-BK 117 All Series Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : VFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Taxi

Aircraft: 2

Reference : Y Aircraft Operator : Personal Make Model Name : Small Aircraft Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 91 Mission : Agriculture Flight Phase : Parked

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Reporter Organization : Air Taxi Function.Flight Crew : Captain Function.Flight Crew : Pilot Flying Qualification.Flight Crew : Instrument Qualification.Flight Crew : Commercial ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 2008212 Human Factors : Situational Awareness

Events

Anomaly.Conflict : Ground Conflict, Less Severe Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Detector.Person : Flight Crew When Detected : Taxi Result.General : None Reported / Taken

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Environment - Non Weather Related Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Procedure

Narrative: 1

I approached to land at ZZZ on Runway XX upon reaching a hover I sidestepped left to hover taxi over the taxiway. There was an old crop-dusting plane being fueled at the pump. I passed the plane approximately 80 ft. to the south of the plane over the taxiway hovering between 4 and 8 ft. I proceeded to land next to the ambulance waiting at the ramp. As we passed the plane one of my crew members stated that the small plane began to turn on its own. I landed at the H and shut down. After I shut down, I spoke with the pilot of the plane. I told him that I was sorry for his inconvenience. I told him that I gave him plenty of space when I hover taxied past him. I asked does your plane have a parking brake - he replied no, it is not equipped with one. The plane also did not have wheel chocks in place. I took pictures of the plane and called Person A. I gave the man Person A's contact information and my own. In my professional opinion as a dual rated pilot, the damage to this man's plane occurred purely out of his own negligence, one for not having a parking brake and two not having his wheels chocked. His plane would not have been affected by my rotor wash, if he had secured his plane properly. Have all airplane pilots that are fueling their aircraft with no brakes, to place chocks on the wheels.

Synopsis

MBB-BK117 pilot reported the rotor wash of the helicopter while performing a hover taxi over the taxiway caused a nearby aircraft that was fueling to move. The other aircraft did not have a parking brake function and the wheels were not chocked.

ACN: 2007226 (14 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202306 Local Time Of Day : 0601-1200

Place

Locale Reference.ATC Facility : ZZZ.ARTCC State Reference : US Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 4100

Aircraft

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Center : ZZZ Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Helicopter Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 91 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Cruise Airspace.Class E : ZZZ

Component

Aircraft Component : Air/Ground Communication Aircraft Reference : X Problem : Failed

Person

Location Of Person.Facility: ZZZ.ARTCC Reporter Organization : Government Function.Air Traffic Control : Enroute Qualification.Air Traffic Control : Fully Certified Experience.Air Traffic Control.Radar : 12 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 2007226 Human Factors : Time Pressure Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Communication Breakdown.Party1 : ATC Communication Breakdown.Party2 : Flight Crew

Events

Anomaly.Aircraft Equipment Problem : Less Severe Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Clearance Anomaly.Ground Event / Encounter : Ground Equipment Issue Anomaly.Inflight Event / Encounter : CFTT / CFIT Detector.Automation : Air Traffic Control Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control When Detected : In-flight Result.Flight Crew : Overcame Equipment Problem Result.Air Traffic Control : Issued New Clearance

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Aircraft Contributing Factors / Situations : ATC Equipment / Nav Facility / Buildings Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : ATC Equipment / Nav Facility / Buildings

Narrative: 1

Aircraft X was at 4100 [ft]. I advised them that I'd need a climb to 6000 [ft.] for my MIA (Minimum IFR Altitude). When I tried climbing the aircraft they were NORDO. When Aircraft X finally got the clearance, they were in a vicinity [where] my MIA was 5800 [ft]. Aircraft just needed to answer in a timely manner.

Synopsis

Center Controller reported unable to communicate with Helicopter, resulted in aircraft entering a higher MVA and a CFTT event.

Date : 202305 Local Time Of Day : 1201-1800

Place

Locale Reference.Airport : SLC.Airport State Reference : UT Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 7700

Aircraft: 1

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Tower : SLC Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Small Transport Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Cruise Route In Use : None Airspace.Class B : SLC

Aircraft: 2

Reference : Y ATC / Advisory.Tower : SLC Aircraft Operator : Air Carrier Make Model Name : Small Transport Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 121 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Passenger Flight Phase : Descent Route In Use : Vectors Airspace.Class B : SLC

Component

Aircraft Component : Air/Ground Communication Aircraft Reference : X Problem : Improperly Operated

Person

Location Of Person.Facility : SLC.Tower Reporter Organization : Government Function.Air Traffic Control : Local Qualification.Air Traffic Control : Fully Certified Experience.Air Traffic Control.Time Certified In Pos 1 (yrs) : 9 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1998428 Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Human Factors : Confusion Communication Breakdown.Party1 : ATC Communication Breakdown.Party2 : Flight Crew

Events

Anomaly.Aircraft Equipment Problem : Less Severe Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Conflict : Airborne Conflict Anomaly.Deviation - Track / Heading : All Types Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Anomaly.Inflight Event / Encounter : CFTT / CFIT Detector.Automation : Air Traffic Control Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control When Detected : In-flight Result.Flight Crew : Overcame Equipment Problem Result.Air Traffic Control : Issued New Clearance Result.Air Traffic Control : Issued Advisory / Alert

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Aircraft Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Primary Problem : Aircraft

Narrative: 1

I was working local east and city. Aircraft X was inbound from the southwest. I observed them go through the final about 14 miles south of the airport. I called the Approach Controller and they indicated they had lost communication with the aircraft and were attempting to re-establish contact. Aircraft X then came up on my frequency about 15 miles southeast of the airport heading into rapidly rising terrain as well as a VFR aircraft outside the bravo airspace not receiving services. I issued Aircraft X a low altitude alert and traffic alert while climbing them and turning them away from the mountains. Aircraft X was able to get the unidentified aircraft in sight and maintain visual separation. I pointed out the airport, which Aircraft X then had in sight, and cleared them for a visual approach. Aircraft Y was on an approach to Runway XXL which Aircraft X was able to get in sight and maintain visual separation from. Aircraft X then landed with no further issue. Recommendation: Aircraft X told me they lost communication with Approach on 124.4 as they were descending through 8,000. 124.4 is a clearance delivery frequency for the TVY airport located 20 miles southwest of SLC with a large mountain between the two airports. I have no idea why Aircraft X was on that frequency but it appears Approach was either working several frequencies and wasn't aware Aircraft X was on that one or the Approach Controller thought that frequency had sufficient range. It is probably best practice to keep Clearance Delivery (CD) frequencies in a loud speaker if possible so you know if the aircraft is on a frequency intended for ground based communication, then take appropriate action.

Synopsis

SLC Tower Controller reported Aircraft X lost radio contact and deviated from clearance which resulted in an MVA alert and conflict with traffic.

Date : 202305 Local Time Of Day : 1201-1800

Place

Locale Reference.Airport : ZZZ.Airport State Reference : US Relative Position.Angle.Radial : 131 Relative Position.Distance.Nautical Miles : 13 Altitude.AGL.Single Value : 230

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC Weather Elements / Visibility.Visibility : 10 Light : Daylight Ceiling.Single Value : 10000

Aircraft: 1

Reference : X Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Helicopter Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : VFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Landing Route In Use : Direct Airspace.Class E : ZZZ

Aircraft: 2

Reference : Y Aircraft Operator.Other Make Model Name : Small Aircraft, High Wing, 1 Eng, Fixed Gear Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 91 Flight Plan : VFR Mission : Utility / Infrastructure Flight Phase : Cruise Airspace.Class E : ZZZ

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Reporter Organization : Air Taxi Function.Flight Crew : Pilot Flying Function.Flight Crew : Captain Function.Flight Crew : Single Pilot Qualification.Flight Crew : Flight Instructor Qualification.Flight Crew : Commercial Qualification.Flight Crew : Instrument Experience.Air Traffic Control.Supervisory : 15796 Experience.Flight Crew.Total : 2559 Experience.Flight Crew.Last 90 Days : 45 Experience.Flight Crew.Type : 88 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1996747 Human Factors : Workload Human Factors : Confusion Human Factors : Situational Awareness Human Factors : Distraction Human Factors : Time Pressure

Events

Anomaly.Conflict : NMAC Anomaly.Deviation - Track / Heading : All Types Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Detector.Automation : Aircraft RA Detector.Person : Flight Crew Miss Distance.Horizontal : 1000 Miss Distance.Vertical : 300 When Detected : In-flight Result.General : Police / Security Involved Result.Flight Crew : Took Evasive Action

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Environment - Non Weather Related Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Primary Problem : Human Factors

Narrative: 1

We are an operator in an Aircraft X based out of ZZZ. We received a dispatch to a scene response just south east of ZZZ1, for an occupant of a motor vehicle which was entrapped. Weather is clear. During our reconnaissance portion of our landing zone which was right next to this crashed vehicle, we had to abort our recon due to an aircraft continuing at us, same height, and not visible to the eye due to its size and color (light white/cream) light green tail number hardly visible. We turned south east away from our landing zone to ensure we avoided the hazard. Once the aircraft was clear we made our approach into the wind traveling north west, at 230 ft. off the ground and in proximity to ground hazards, our ADS-B alarmed traffic again 300 ft. above, the Aircraft Y had made a circle back to the scene a second time and was well within a quarter mile. We were close enough I immediately stopped all forward movement of my helicopter and held an out of ground hover till the completely unaware pilot passed. We believe the pilot was task saturated, flying low, and hyper focused on looking at all the lights and sirens on the ground and was completely unaware of a helicopter trying to conduct life saving operations. This pilot gained the attention of the Highway Patrol, and we reported this incident to our management and through the use of a message system. We believe this aircraft was a power line patrol, and was in close proximity to the power lines, however at a much lower altitude and circling several times for no other reason than to gawk at the site, putting my aircraft my crew and the crews on the ground in grave danger for having a secondary aircraft on aircraft incident, while extricating trapped occupants from a vehicle. This pilot, not once but twice deliberately interfered with our operation and put a lot of lives on the line for simply wanting to take a look. I am a firm believer that people

just make mistakes, however this is something more, and this pilot needs a good talk with someone who isn't me, and can explain to them how grave this mistake could have been. I feel there is a chance for this individual to take a step back and remember we are not immune from accidents, and every flight isn't a normal everyday thing. It needs to be taken with utmost seriousness every time you climb in and out of a cockpit. Every time you do something new or not normal you're taking grave risks, like leaving your designated flight path for a look at something. Highway Patrol and local law enforcement reported the aircraft to ZZZ Approach, to tell the [expletive] to wave off and leave the area, I am unsure as to whether that was effective in getting this pilot to leave the area or not.

Synopsis

An air ambulance helicopter pilot approaching to land at an automobile accident site reported a NMAC with a fixed wing aircraft.

Date : 202304 Local Time Of Day : 0601-1200

Place

Locale Reference.Airport : BNA.Airport State Reference : TN Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 2500

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC Light : Daylight

Aircraft: 1

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Tower : BNA Aircraft Operator : Air Carrier Make Model Name : Large Transport Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 121 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Passenger Flight Phase : Final Approach Route In Use : Visual Approach Airspace.Class C : BNA

Aircraft: 2

Reference : Y ATC / Advisory.Tower : BNA Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Helicopter Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : VFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Cruise Route In Use : None Airspace.Class E : BNA

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location Of Person.Facility : BNA.TWR Reporter Organization : Government Function.Air Traffic Control : Local Qualification.Air Traffic Control : Fully Certified Experience.Air Traffic Control.Time Certified In Pos 1 (yrs) : 4 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1993430 Human Factors : Situational Awareness Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Communication Breakdown.Party1 : ATC Communication Breakdown.Party2 : Flight Crew

Events

Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Conflict : NMAC Detector.Person : Flight Crew Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control Miss Distance.Horizontal : 0 Miss Distance.Vertical : 500 When Detected : In-flight

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Airspace Structure Contributing Factors / Situations : Chart Or Publication Contributing Factors / Situations : Environment - Non Weather Related Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Airspace Structure

Narrative: 1

Aircraft X was on a visual approach to Runway 2C. Aircraft Y was a non-participating VFR helicopter circumnavigating the Class C surface area enroute to ZZZ. Aircraft Y passed directly under Aircraft X about 4.5 miles from the 2C threshold. Aircraft X saw the helicopter when they were about a mile apart. The Class C airspace of BNA is not appropriate for the level of traffic that the BNA Airport serves. Near misses with non-participating VFR aircraft are a common occurrence and it is just a matter of time before a substantial event takes place. Nashville needs Class B airspace.

Synopsis

BNA Tower Controller reported a helicopter passed directly underneath an air carrier that was on final approach.

ACN: 1990658 (18 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202304 Local Time Of Day : 1201-1800

Place

Locale Reference.ATC Facility : BNA.TRACON State Reference : TN Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 1400

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC Light : Daylight

Aircraft: 1

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Tower : BNA Aircraft Operator : Military Make Model Name : Helicopter Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Flight Plan : VFR Mission : Training Route In Use : None Airspace.Class E : BNA

Aircraft: 2

Reference : Y ATC / Advisory.Tower : BNA Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Helicopter Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : VFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Cruise Route In Use : VFR Route Airspace.Class C : BNA

Aircraft: 3

Reference : Z ATC / Advisory.Tower : BNA Aircraft Operator : Air Carrier Make Model Name : Commercial Fixed Wing Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 121 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Passenger Flight Phase : Climb Route In Use : Vectors Airspace.Class C : BNA

Person

Location Of Person.Facility : BNA.TWR Reporter Organization : Government Function.Air Traffic Control : Local Qualification.Air Traffic Control : Fully Certified Experience.Air Traffic Control.Time Certified In Pos 1 (yrs) : 13 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1990658 Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Human Factors : Distraction Human Factors : Workload Human Factors : Time Pressure Communication Breakdown.Party1 : ATC Communication Breakdown.Party2 : Flight Crew

Events

Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Conflict : Airborne Conflict Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control When Detected : In-flight Result.Air Traffic Control : Issued New Clearance Result.Air Traffic Control : Separated Traffic

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Airspace Structure Contributing Factors / Situations : Chart Or Publication Contributing Factors / Situations : Company Policy Primary Problem : Airspace Structure

Narrative: 1

Aircraft Y was receiving flight following, MEDEVAC status, from the southeast of the Tower airspace to west / northwest at 1600-1700 ft. Aircraft Z was departing off BNA turning to the southeast to climb above Aircraft Y. I, in the Local Control Position, observed a VFR target approximately 6 miles southeast of BNA, north bound indicating 1300 ft. He turned away from the Class C airspace at the last moment and started doing orbiting right in front on Aircraft Y's flight path. I attempted radio contact and did not succeed. I attempted contact on guard as well, no success Finally, the VFR aircraft not in communication with ATC called the Departure Controller who gave him a squawk code and affected coordination with the Tower Controller in Charge to let me know who he was and what he was doing. During this time frame, before the Departure Controller could tell me, I had to turn the departing Aircraft Z to stay on runway heading to avoid the VFR. I also issued traffic and had to turn Aircraft Y who eventually reported him in sight and advised me he was a helicopter. The VFR traffic turned out to be Aircraft X who wanted to land at BNA. This VFR aircraft went into an orbiting holding pattern in front of MEDEVAC traffic and right in the departure corridor for BNA. This is legal and EXTREMELY dangerous. We desperately need to fix this airspace! We need a Class Bravo IMMEDIATELY!!

Synopsis

BNA Tower Controller reported they vectored a Medical Flight helicopter and departing air carrier away from an unidentified VFR aircraft orbiting just outside of their Class C airspace.

Date : 202303 Local Time Of Day : 1801-2400

Place

Locale Reference.Airport : ZZZ.Airport State Reference : US Altitude.AGL.Single Value : 0

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC Light : Daylight

Aircraft

Reference : X Aircraft Operator : Air Carrier Make Model Name : Learjet 35 Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Landing Route In Use : Vectors

Component: 1

Aircraft Component : Main Gear Tire Manufacturer : L/H OTBD Aircraft Reference : X Problem : Failed

Component: 2

Aircraft Component : Main Gear Tire Manufacturer : L/H INBD Aircraft Reference : X Problem : Failed

Component: 3

Aircraft Component : Antiskid System Aircraft Reference : X Problem : Malfunctioning

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Reporter Organization : Air Carrier Function.Flight Crew : Captain Function.Flight Crew : Flight Engineer / Second Officer Function.Flight Crew : Pilot Flying Qualification.Flight Crew : Flight Instructor Qualification.Flight Crew : Instrument Qualification.Flight Crew : Multiengine Qualification.Flight Crew : Air Transport Pilot (ATP) Experience.Flight Crew.Total : 1800 Experience.Flight Crew.Last 90 Days : 175 Experience.Flight Crew.Type : 700 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1983593

Events

Anomaly.Aircraft Equipment Problem : Critical Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Clearance Detector.Automation : Aircraft Other Automation Detector.Person : Flight Crew Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control Were Passengers Involved In Event : Y When Detected : In-flight Result.General : Evacuated Result.General : Maintenance Action Result.General : Flight Cancelled / Delayed Result.Flight Crew : Diverted Result.Flight Crew : Landed in Emergency Condition Result.Flight Crew : Requested ATC Assistance / Clarification Result.Aircraft : Aircraft Damaged

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Aircraft Primary Problem : Aircraft

Narrative: 1

Departing ZZZ enroute to ZZZ1 on scheduled Part 135 Flight. During takeoff roll, The Crew noticed a bump, Left Anti-skid Lights Illuminated as V1 was called. The Crew continued rotation and continued flight while troubleshooting the issue. Once in cruise flight, ATC notified Flight Crew of report given by ZZZ Tower of Tire Remains on the runway. Once we determined that one or both main tires were popped, we ran the proper checklists and communicated with Crew and OPS on ground via Sat phone. The Flight Crew decided to divert to ZZZ2 for use of longer runways and abundant Airport Rescue and Firefighting (ARFF). In an effort to land as safely and and lightly as possible we requested lower altitudes, free speed, and delayed vectors. This allowed us to touch down at a lower gross weight, reducing damage to to persons or property. The airplane landed safely on Runway XXR with ARFF Personnel standing by. An evacuation of all Crew and Passengers was executed. No injuries were sustained and damage to airplane appeared to be limited to left main gear.

Synopsis

Learjet 35 Captain reported an anti skid failure caused the failure of main landing gear tires on take off. The flight crew elected to divert and make a precautionary landing.

ACN: 1975342 (20 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202302 Local Time Of Day : 1801-2400

Place

Locale Reference.ATC Facility : ZZZ.TRACON State Reference : US Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 5600

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC Light : Night

Aircraft

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.TRACON : ZZZ Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Small Transport Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Final Approach Route In Use.Other Airspace.Class C : ZZZ

Person

Location Of Person.Facility: ZZZ.TRACON Reporter Organization : Government Function.Air Traffic Control : Approach Qualification.Air Traffic Control : Fully Certified Experience.Air Traffic Control.Time Certified In Pos 1 (yrs) : 1 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1975342 Human Factors : Situational Awareness

Events

Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Deviation - Altitude : Crossing Restriction Not Met Anomaly.Deviation - Altitude : Overshoot Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Clearance Anomaly.Inflight Event / Encounter : CFTT / CFIT Detector.Automation : Air Traffic Control Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control When Detected : In-flight Result.Flight Crew : FLC complied w / Automation / Advisory Result.Flight Crew : Became Reoriented Result.Air Traffic Control : Issued New Clearance Result.Air Traffic Control : Issued Advisory / Alert Result.Air Traffic Control : Provided Assistance

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Airspace Structure Contributing Factors / Situations : Chart Or Publication Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Human Factors

Narrative: 1

I was working ZZZ radar combined at the X sector. It was just before XA:00 local time. I only had 2 aircraft on frequency and had not been on long. Traffic was not complex. The only abnormal issue with the traffic was the amount of Medevac aircraft that were in and out of ZZZ. I had an IFR Medevac asking for the RNAV Y approach to Runway XXL. I issued a proper crossing restriction for the fix pilots typically use for the approach. The pilot correctly read back the altitude, but did not stop at the issued altitude. I have great confidence in our Medevac pilots so I was not concerned that he might miss the altitude. A few minutes later, the Low Alt alarm went off. I issued proper low altitude phraseology and the pilot responded with "correcting." I then pointed out the airport and the pilot reported that he had the airport in sight. I then cleared him for a Visual Approach to Runway XXL. The pilot landed without incident. I decided to file this [report] to satisfy my need to report the issue and to protect myself. I made the decision NOT to issue pilot deviation phraseology and force this incident up to management. Our Medevac pilots are always extremely professional and do an amazing job getting medically critical passengers in and out of ZZZ quickly and safely. I had no desire to expound the issue and maybe take a good pilot who just made a mental error, out of the sky. I have no doubt that the pilot knew exactly what he had done wrong and I believe he will correct the issue. I have no recommendations for this. I issued a good altitude, and got a correct read back from the pilot. I'm sure the pilot was probably just tired and I have no doubt that he will correct this problem.

Synopsis

A TRACON Controller reported they received a Low Altitude Alert when an aircraft descended below a crossing restriction on an approach.

Date : 202301 Local Time Of Day : 1201-1800

Place

Locale Reference.Airport : ZZZ.Airport State Reference : US

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC Light : Dusk

Aircraft

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Tower : ZZZ Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Helicopter Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : VFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Initial Approach Airspace.Class C : ZZZ Airspace.Class E : ZZZ

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Reporter Organization : Air Taxi Function.Flight Crew : Pilot Flying Function.Flight Crew : Captain Qualification.Flight Crew : Air Transport Pilot (ATP) ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1962098 Human Factors : Time Pressure Human Factors : Situational Awareness

Events

Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Clearance Anomaly.Inflight Event / Encounter : Weather / Turbulence Anomaly.Inflight Event / Encounter : VFR In IMC Detector.Person : Flight Crew When Detected : In-flight Result.Flight Crew : Took Evasive Action Result.Flight Crew : Returned To Clearance Result.Air Traffic Control : Issued New Clearance Result.Air Traffic Control : Provided Assistance

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Weather Primary Problem : Weather

Narrative: 1

Skies Clear, 7+ miles visibility, cruise flight at 2,500 feet MSL, approached ZZZ [Airport] Class C airspace (from the east) for VFR transition to ZZZ1 hospital about 12 miles west of ZZZ. ATC confirmed transponder squawk, altitude, and heading for ZZZ1. I noticed some lower cloud cover over ZZZ, confirmed ATIS and iPAD (ForeFlight) the ZZZ was 800 scattered and 7 miles. ZZZ2 (N/W of ZZZ) was reporting the same. ZZZ ATC frequency changed me to ZZZ Tower. ZZZ Tower asked if I wanted to descend below the 800 feet scattered layer and continue to ZZZ1. I accepted. However, after descending below 800 feet and going under the scattered layer, I observed weather much less than was expected. Flight visibility reduced to 2-3 miles with mist, and the ceiling seemed more overcast than scattered. With ZZZ landing traffic behind the helicopter now, and not able to find a suitable area to land in the deteriorating conditions, I initiated IMC climb to VFR on top (about 30 seconds). During this time, the med crew asked if we were IMC, before I could inform them, and I responded ves, IMC climb to VFR conditions. The med crew was very helpful and verbally asked level attitude indicator, climb power, climb airspeed, no turns. I responded yes. The helicopter became VFR on top at 1,000 to 1,500 feet, and before I could contact ZZZ Tower, ZZZ Tower informed all participating traffic in the local area that ZZZ was now IFR. ZZZ Tower asked if we needed assistance or an IFR clearance. I responded negative, that I was VFR on top and diverting to the northwest towards ZZZ2, where the weather was clear. Helicopter diverted to ZZZ2, offloaded patient, and the med crew to a ground ambulance to ZZZ1. I checked weather at ZZZ2 and ZZZ3 (home base), contacted ZZZ1 flightwatch and departed pilot only VFR back to ZZZ3 without incident. Suggestions - Going forward, my personal pre-plan is to divert earlier, or turn around (RTB) before going below any cloud layer 1,000 feet or lower. The speed at which the flight visibility reduced was dramatic. The difference between what ATIS and Tower were reporting and actual conditions were unacceptable. Also, going forward, listening to the temperature dew point spread will be mandatory for all locations, with caution when spread is 4 degrees or less.

Synopsis

MEDEVAC Helicopter pilot reported a VFR into IMC conditions event after the weather deteriorated faster than expected requiring an immediate climb to return to VFR conditions.

ACN: 1960647 (22 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202212 Local Time Of Day : 0601-1200

Place

Locale Reference.ATC Facility : ZZZ.Tower State Reference : US Altitude.AGL.Single Value : 200

Aircraft

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Tower : ZZZ Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Light Transport, Low Wing, 2 Turbojet Eng Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Landing Airspace.Class D : ZZZ

Person

Location Of Person.Facility : ZZZ.Tower Reporter Organization : Government Function.Air Traffic Control : Ground Function.Air Traffic Control : Local Qualification.Air Traffic Control : Fully Certified Experience.Air Traffic Control.Time Certified In Pos 1 (yrs) : 1 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1960647 Human Factors : Confusion Human Factors : Time Pressure Human Factors : Workload Human Factors : Situational Awareness

Events

Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Anomaly.Ground Event / Encounter : Other / Unknown Anomaly.Inflight Event / Encounter : CFTT / CFIT Detector.Automation : Air Traffic Control Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control Result.Flight Crew : Executed Go Around / Missed Approach Result.Air Traffic Control : Issued New Clearance Result.Air Traffic Control : Provided Assistance

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Airspace Structure Contributing Factors / Situations : Chart Or Publication Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Contributing Factors / Situations : Weather Primary Problem : Procedure

Narrative: 1

I was working Local Control 1, 2, helicopters, and Ground Control combined, approximately around XA:00. The weather was extremely poor, less than 1/4 SM visibility, mist, and a vertical visibility variable between 100 - 200. The [Runway] XXR RVR was variable between 600 - 1000. The field could not be seen from the Tower. Aircraft X was inbound on the ILS-Z and was given the RVR. On very short final, they decided to initiate a go-around. I issued runway heading and thereafter, an instruction to execute the published missed approach. Issuing a heading is a violation of the MVA. The reason why I gave runway heading is because I was informed of an event in the past where another iet on a 2-mile final for the ILS-Z [Runway] XXR initiated a go-around but had the wrong chart pulled up and started the climbing left turn prior to the ZZZ 1.5 DME. I had this event in my head when issuing the runway heading instruction just to ensure they got to the point to execute the published missed. I spoke with our facility Quality Assurance Evaluator and was told that this was a violation of the MVA and in the future to just simply instruct the aircraft to execute the publish missed approach as the procedure has the crossing restriction on it, or to say fly to the missed approach point and then execute the published missed approach. I explained my reasoning to the Evaluator and he understood, but in the future, I will have no problems issuing only the published missed approach.

Synopsis

Tower Controller reported issuing an instruction to fly runway heading prior to instructing the aircraft to execute the published missed approach, which was a violation of the MVA.

Date : 202212 Local Time Of Day : 1201-1800

Place

Locale Reference.Airport : ZZZ.Airport State Reference : US Altitude.AGL.Single Value : 100

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC Weather Elements / Visibility.Visibility : 10 Light : Daylight Ceiling.Single Value : 10000

Aircraft: 1

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.UNICOM : ZZZ Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : S-76/S-76 Mark II Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Takeoff / Launch Route In Use : Direct Airspace.Class E : ZZZ

Aircraft: 2

Reference : Y Make Model Name : Any Unknown or Unlisted Aircraft Manufacturer Flight Phase : Takeoff / Launch

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Reporter Organization : Air Taxi Function.Flight Crew : Captain Function.Flight Crew : Single Pilot Qualification.Flight Crew : Rotorcraft Qualification.Flight Crew : Instrument Qualification.Flight Crew : Air Transport Pilot (ATP) Experience.Flight Crew.Total : 10700 Experience.Flight Crew.Last 90 Days : 40 Experience.Flight Crew.Type : 3500 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1957273 Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Communication Breakdown.Party1 : Flight Crew Communication Breakdown.Party2 : Flight Crew

Events

Anomaly.Conflict : NMAC Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Miss Distance.Horizontal : 200 Miss Distance.Vertical : 0 Result.Flight Crew : Took Evasive Action Result.Flight Crew : Rejected Takeoff

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Airport Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Airport

Narrative: 1

Departing from taxi-way at ZZZ call was made on CTAF "Aircraft X Departing ZZZ, is there any departing traffic ZZZ" With nothing heard climb was initiated to 100 ft. for takeoff in the NE direction. Crewman in left seat alerted Pilot Flying to fixed wing aircraft departing Runway XX now at same height. At that time departing aircraft made radio call to indicate departure from YY. Aircraft X was lowered back to taxi way without incident. Closest approach was 200 ft. horizontal and Aircraft X was not in the flight path of departing aircraft (Aircraft X remained over taxi-way) land back was a precautionary measure to insure separation.

Synopsis

S76 Medevac Captain reported aborting takeoff from taxiway after observing NORDO traffic on take-off which resulted in a NMAC.

Date : 202210 Local Time Of Day : 0001-0600

Place

Locale Reference.Airport : ZZZ.Airport State Reference : US Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 3000

Aircraft

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Center : ZZZ Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Helicopter Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Cruise Route In Use : Direct Airspace.Class E : ZZZ

Person

Location Of Person.Facility : ZZZ.ARTCC Reporter Organization : Government Function.Air Traffic Control : Approach Experience.Air Traffic Control.Time Certified In Pos 1 (yrs) : 4 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1945582 Human Factors : Situational Awareness Human Factors : Confusion

Events

Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Clearance Anomaly.Inflight Event / Encounter : CFTT / CFIT Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control When Detected : In-flight

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Airspace Structure Contributing Factors / Situations : Chart Or Publication Contributing Factors / Situations : Environment - Non Weather Related Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Airspace Structure

Narrative: 1

I was working the midshift. Aircraft X was a medevac departed ZZZ area enroute to ZZZ1 (hospital helipad around ZZZ2). The aircraft picked up their IFR in the air requesting 3000 ft cruise altitude. I cleared the Aircraft X as filed direct ZZZ1 maintain 3,000 ft. I did not realize their route eventually put them through the small circled area that has an MEA of 3,100 ft. I only became aware after they had already entered this area that they were 100 feet low. The event occurred because I failed to consider that small area in that had an MEA at 3100 ft. But I should have checked that considering the aircraft was so low on IFR flight plan. I don't think there is any change necessary to prevent re-occurrence of this event. I'd just say that personally I should have had more awareness of the airspace and MEAs and checked if the aircraft was going to go through any areas with an MEA higher than 3,000 ft. Thankfully the aircraft was only 100 ft. low for a short time and there was no incident. However I will be extra careful to avoid this mistake in the future.

Synopsis

A Center Controller reported they issued a direct routing to a helicopter which placed it 100 ft. below the Minimum Enroute Altitude.

Date : 202210 Local Time Of Day : 0601-1200

Place

Locale Reference.ATC Facility : N90.TRACON State Reference : NY Relative Position.Angle.Radial : 212 Relative Position.Distance.Nautical Miles : 11.6 Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 2000

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC Weather Elements / Visibility.Visibility : 10 Light : Daylight Ceiling.Single Value : 30000

Aircraft: 1

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.TRACON : N90 Aircraft Operator : Air Carrier Make Model Name : Helicopter Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : VFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Cruise Route In Use.Other

Aircraft: 2

Reference : Y Make Model Name : Small Aircraft, Low Wing, 1 Eng, Fixed Gear Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Reporter Organization : Air Carrier Function.Flight Crew : Pilot Flying Function.Flight Crew : Single Pilot Qualification.Flight Crew : Single Pilot Qualification.Flight Crew : Flight Instructor Qualification.Flight Crew : Air Transport Pilot (ATP) Qualification.Flight Crew : Instrument Experience.Flight Crew.Total : 3500 Experience.Flight Crew.Last 90 Days : 75 Experience.Flight Crew.Type : 500 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1943774 Human Factors : Situational Awareness

Events

Anomaly.Conflict : NMAC Detector.Person : Flight Crew Miss Distance.Horizontal : 500 Miss Distance.Vertical : 200 When Detected : In-flight Result.Flight Crew : Took Evasive Action

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Primary Problem : Human Factors

Narrative: 1

While traveling westbound on the helicopter route crew noted traffic at 12 o'clock same altitude. I observed the airplane was flying in the same direction and altitude as us. I saw it make a couple of small erratic turns after which the plane banked hard to the left and turned completely around headed directly towards us. I immediately lowered the collective and dove to avoid the oncoming aircraft. I was unable to observe the minimum distance between us as he was approaching from the opposite side of the aircraft from my seat (right seat). I descended a total of 1000 ft. as I was unable to determine his location and wanted to ensure we had sufficient separation. A crew member in the back noted they had visual contact and that the airplane was moving away from us.

Synopsis

Helicopter pilot reported a NMAC with a light aircraft in the vicinity of ISP airport.

ACN: 1922114 (26 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202208 Local Time Of Day : 1201-1800

Place

Locale Reference.ATC Facility : ZZZ.TRACON State Reference : US Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 8000

Aircraft

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.TRACON : ZZZ Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : PC-12 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 91 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Cruise Route In Use : Vectors Airspace.Class E : ZZZ

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location Of Person.Facility : ZZZ.TRACON Reporter Organization : Government Function.Air Traffic Control : Enroute Qualification.Air Traffic Control : Fully Certified Experience.Air Traffic Control.Time Certified In Pos 1 (yrs) : 14 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1922114 Human Factors : Distraction Human Factors : Situational Awareness Human Factors : Workload Human Factors : Confusion

Events

Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Deviation - Altitude : Overshoot Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Clearance Anomaly.Inflight Event / Encounter : CFTT / CFIT Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control When Detected : In-flight Result.Flight Crew : Returned To Clearance Result.Air Traffic Control : Issued New Clearance Result.Air Traffic Control : Provided Assistance

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Airspace Structure Contributing Factors / Situations : Company Policy Contributing Factors / Situations : Environment - Non Weather Related Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Airspace Structure

Narrative: 1

MEDVAC was at 9,000 ft. in the downwind for LDA Runway XX. Was given a 090 heading. Was then turned to a heading of 070 to miss R-XXXX, active below 9,000 ft, and a descent to 8,000 ft. MEDVAC was turned to join XX LDA. I issued the clearance right as the aircraft was at 8,100 ft. MEDVAC said they have 7,000 ft. but will stop at 8,000 ft. and read back the rest of approach clearance. The aircraft advised they would stop at 8,000 ft. so no further action was taken other than to confirm the altitude was 8,000 ft. The MEDVAC got down to 7,600 ft. right prior to starting a climb back to 8,000 ft. 1.5 miles prior to entering a 6,500 ft. MVA. No SA (Safety Alert) was given as the aircraft was not in an unsafe proximity to the terrain and had already been advised of the appropriate altitude and was taking action before descending below the 8,000 ft. MVA Thought this was clearly a pilot error. Extra headings were given to miss R-XXXX. This in the past was always active to below 8,000 ft. This worked for years. They never needed 9,000 ft. before but always seem to take it now for no apparent reason. This is right under the finals for ZZZ Airport and we lose a usable altitude to accommodate for what seems a pointless necessity. Range Control should only used what they need and not take precious airspace just because they can. Ideally this restricted area should be completely re-evaluated. There was never a proper impact study done and it is in a dangerous area for live fire military activities. Everything they do can be accomplished in the western portion of the Restricted area.

Synopsis

TRACON Controller reported an aircraft on vectors to avoid special use airspace descended below their assigned altitude and below the Minimum Vectoring Altitude.

Date : 202207 Local Time Of Day : 1801-2400

Place

Locale Reference.Airport : ZZZ.Airport State Reference : US Relative Position.Angle.Radial : 203 Relative Position.Distance.Nautical Miles : 1 Altitude.AGL.Single Value : 0

Environment

Weather Elements / Visibility : Turbulence Weather Elements / Visibility : Windshear Weather Elements / Visibility.Visibility : 10 Light : Daylight Ceiling.Single Value : 5000

Aircraft

Reference : X Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Super King Air 200 Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 91 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Initial Climb Route In Use : None Airspace.Class D : ZZZ

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Reporter Organization : Personal Function Flight Crew : Captain Function.Flight Crew : Single Pilot Qualification.Flight Crew : Instrument Qualification.Flight Crew : Multiengine Qualification.Flight Crew : Air Transport Pilot (ATP) Experience. Air Traffic Control. Supervisory: 6501 Experience.Flight Crew.Total: 25000 Experience.Flight Crew.Last 90 Days : 55 Experience. Flight Crew. Type: 2500 ASRS Report Number. Accession Number: 1920301 Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Human Factors : Confusion Human Factors : Situational Awareness Human Factors : Human-Machine Interface Communication Breakdown Party1 : Flight Crew Communication Breakdown.Party2 : ATC

Events

Anomaly.Deviation - Altitude : Excursion From Assigned Altitude Anomaly.Deviation - Track / Heading : All Types Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : FAR Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Clearance Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Anomaly.Inflight Event / Encounter : CFTT / CFIT Detector.Automation : Air Traffic Control When Detected : In-flight Result.General : None Reported / Taken

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Primary Problem : Human Factors

Narrative: 1

Departing ZZZ to Location at ZZZ1 yesterday (Day) the Tower Controller issued a new heading after we had begun our taxi. As a single pilot I elected not to stop and write the new clearance down and instead bugged the new heading and read it back, receiving no further comment. I honestly do not recall if the new clearance included which direction to turn or, if so, if I read it back. In any case on departure I made a turn to the west instead of the east turn which the controller expected and which would have been indicated by the traffic pattern. I was well above pattern altitude when I began my turn. At no time was I in IMC and there was no conflicting traffic but the Departure Controller asked me to call the tower when I landed, which I did. The controller I had the issue with was off shift by the time I landed and the gentleman I spoke with explained that by turning west I had flown into an area while below the minimum IFR vectoring altitude for that segment. Today on an identical departure from ZZZ to ZZ21 the controller issued me the same heading but he specified "cleared via radar vectors" and specified the left (east) turn.

Synopsis

Pilot reported they were unsure of which heading to fly during departure in IMC and flew into an area below the minimum IFR vectoring altitude.

ACN: 1917355 (28 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202207 Local Time Of Day : 0001-0600

Place

Locale Reference.Airport : ZZZ.Airport State Reference : US Altitude.AGL.Single Value : 0

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC Light : Night

Aircraft

Reference : X Aircraft Operator : Corporate Make Model Name : King Air C90 E90 Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Parked Maintenance Status.Maintenance Deferred : N Maintenance Status.Records Complete : N Maintenance Status.Released For Service : Y Maintenance Status.Required / Correct Doc On Board : N Maintenance Status.Maintenance Type : Scheduled Maintenance Maintenance Status.Maintenance Items Involved : Testing Maintenance Status.Maintenance Items Involved : Installation

Component

Aircraft Component : Navigation Database Aircraft Reference : X Problem : Improperly Operated

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Reporter Organization : Corporate Function.Flight Crew : Captain Function.Flight Crew : Pilot Flying Qualification.Flight Crew : Commercial Qualification.Flight Crew : Instrument Qualification.Flight Crew : Multiengine ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1917355 Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Human Factors : Troubleshooting Human Factors : Confusion Human Factors : Situational Awareness Communication Breakdown.Party1 : Flight Crew Communication Breakdown.Party2 : Ground Personnel

Events

Anomaly.Aircraft Equipment Problem : Critical Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : MEL / CDL Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Maintenance Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : FAR Detector.Person : Flight Crew Were Passengers Involved In Event : N When Detected : Routine Inspection When Detected : Aircraft In Service At Gate Result.General : Flight Cancelled / Delayed Result.General : Maintenance Action

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Aircraft Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Human Factors

Narrative: 1

On Date, I received a phone call prior to shift change from the day pilot, telling me he would be slightly late to meet me because he was in ZZZ1 on a patient transport. He also advised me, as a heads up for my oncoming shift, about an issue regarding the Garmin 725 GPS in our airplane, Aircraft X, concerning a NAV data change/update switching to Jeppesen from the Garmin NAV data in that was previously installed. He told me that new NAV data card wasn't communicating properly with the terrain and obstacle information on the other installed Garmin card making the TAWS inoperable. He said he researched and discussed with our Manager, and that the issue could not be MELed to their understanding but that it was legal to fly as long as the NAV data was current and functioning properly. I expressed that their findings were not consistent with my interpretation of the regulations, MEL, or past experience with how the same scenario was handled with a previous part 135 employer. I suggested that he leave the airplane there and fly the spare, Aircraft Y, back to ZZZ and let Maintenance resolve it. He assured me that they deduced it was legal to fly and that the preference from Maintenance was for Aircraft X to go back to ZZZ and for the mechanic based there to fix the problem the following day. I deferred to their decision as Name is both a seasoned pilot and Aviation Maintenance Technician, had already flown a flight that way, and Name 1 is our Manager, though in truth, this did not sit well with me. Towards the end of my shift the following morning, Date 1, I received a flight request to transfer a patient from ZZZ to ZZZ1. We departed ZZZ at XA: 25 with the patient and med crew onboard on an IFR departure/flight plan to ZZZ1 in VFR conditions, and returned to ZZZ with the med crew onboard at XD:02 also on an IFR departure/flight plan in VFR conditions. Although both flights were IFR and thus terrain and obstacle protected by ATC, the TAWS system was indeed inoperable for the duration of both flights and, as would become clear later, not legal without an MEL sign off. Name was there to meet me for the pilot shift change briefing and his preflight. I communicated to him that it was my belief that we needed to have Maintenance resolve the TAWS issue before he and I accepted any further flights and he agreed. The ZZZ assigned company mechanic could not resolve the problem, but he and Name did find from escalating the issue to various company maintenance superiors that it was indeed not legal to fly unless an MEL sign off was

processed, yet was actually eligible for a 2-day MEL, which is what the mechanic then completed. I don't think Maintenance should have even released the airplane back in service to the pilots after switching out the NAV data and having this issue, knowing full well that the TAWS system was inoperable. That said, as the pilot in command (PIC), I should have asserted my belief and interpretation that the airplane was not airworthy in its current state and escalated the issue myself to get a resolution, instead of resigning myself to the conclusion that the previous pilot and Manager came up with.

Synopsis

Captain reported concerns over operation of an aircraft with an out of date NAV database that should have been deferred. The aircraft was later given the correct MEL as required.
Date : 202206 Local Time Of Day : 0601-1200

Place

Locale Reference.Airport : ZZZ.Airport State Reference : US Relative Position.Angle.Radial : 270 Relative Position.Distance.Nautical Miles : 1 Altitude.AGL.Single Value : 600

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC Weather Elements / Visibility.Visibility : 10 Light : Daylight

Aircraft: 1

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Tower : ZZZ Aircraft Operator : Corporate Make Model Name : S-76/S-76 Mark II Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : None Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Initial Climb Route In Use : Direct Airspace.Class D : ZZZ

Aircraft: 2

Reference : Y ATC / Advisory.Tower : ZZZ Aircraft Operator : Military Make Model Name : Fighter Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Mission : Aerobatics Flight Phase : Final Approach Airspace.Class D : ZZZ

Person

Function.Flight Crew : Captain Function.Flight Crew : Pilot Flying Function.Flight Crew : Single Pilot Qualification.Flight Crew : Air Transport Pilot (ATP) Qualification.Other Experience.Flight Crew.Total : 5524 Experience.Flight Crew.Last 90 Days : 49 Experience.Flight Crew.Type : 2924 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1913346 Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Human Factors : Situational Awareness Communication Breakdown.Party1 : Flight Crew Communication Breakdown.Party2 : ATC

Events

Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Conflict : NMAC Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Clearance Detector.Person : Flight Crew Miss Distance.Horizontal : 200 Miss Distance.Vertical : 200 When Detected : In-flight Result.General : None Reported / Taken

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Procedure

Narrative: 1

Aircraft Y were arriving at ZZZ for an air show in the following days. Each Aircraft Y does a high speed fly over and break before coming into land. I had just refueled at the FBO after completing a flight and had a follow on flight to ZZZ so I was medevac status. The Aircraft were landing on Runway XX. I informed the Tower that we were medevac status with a destination of ZZZ and stated my on course heading which I believe was 230 degrees. I requested a departure from the intersection on X and Y Taxiways with the initial takeoff North (because of winds) with a turn to the West. This intersection is North of Runway XX so I would have to cross the Runway XX centerline at some point. Since there was a Aircraft Y West of the airport preparing to land, my plan was to head West and pass behind the Aircraft Y before turning on course. I was cleared for takeoff per my request. While heading West the Tower Controller told me to turn on course and I believe the phraseology was as soon as possible or something similar. At this time our helicopter was not yet behind the Aircraft Y which was approaching fast for Runway XX so this turn on course put our aircraft directly in the path of the Aircraft Y. On takeoff I was given an altitude restriction of 800 ft. AGL which I was well below at approximately 600 ft. AGL. the Aircraft Y was not landing but doing his high speed pass over the runway so its closer rate was extremely fast. What happened was we met in very close proximity on the runway centerline. The distance made me and the medical crew very uncomfortable. In hindsight I should have let Tower know my intentions of continuing West to get behind the Aircraft Y before turning on course. I also could have requested to continue West when the Tower Controller instructed me to turn on course in the flight path of the approaching jet. After the close encounter the Tower Controller apologized to the Aircraft Y pilot.

Synopsis

Helicopter pilot reported an NMAC during departure with a military fighter doing a high speed pass over the runway. Tower controller issued helicopter a takeoff clearance which put them into the flight path of the fighter.

Date : 202206 Local Time Of Day : 0001-0600

Place

Locale Reference.Airport : ZZZ.Airport State Reference : US Relative Position.Distance.Nautical Miles : 5 Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 3000

Environment

Flight Conditions : Mixed Weather Elements / Visibility : Haze / Smoke Weather Elements / Visibility.Visibility : 10 Ceiling.Single Value : 2200

Aircraft

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.TRACON : ZZZ Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : King Air C90 E90 Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Final Approach Route In Use : Direct Airspace.Class C : ZZZ

Component

Aircraft Component : Autopilot Aircraft Reference : X Problem : Improperly Operated

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Reporter Organization : Air Taxi Function.Flight Crew : Single Pilot Function.Flight Crew : Pilot Flying Qualification.Flight Crew : Air Transport Pilot (ATP) Qualification.Flight Crew : Instrument Qualification.Flight Crew : Multiengine Experience.Flight Crew.Total : 3600 Experience.Flight Crew.Last 90 Days : 60 Experience.Flight Crew.Type : 400 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1909401

Events

Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Deviation - Altitude : Excursion From Assigned Altitude Anomaly.Deviation - Track / Heading : All Types Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Clearance Anomaly.Inflight Event / Encounter : CFTT / CFIT Detector.Automation : Air Traffic Control Detector.Person : Flight Crew When Detected : In-flight Result.Flight Crew : Returned To Clearance Result.Flight Crew : Became Reoriented Result.Air Traffic Control : Issued Advisory / Alert

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Primary Problem : Human Factors

Narrative: 1

On vectors for visual approach, At 8 miles abeam airport couldn't see airport so Approach gave vectors for ILS. Final turn on to localizer was close and initial vector wasn't enough to join so aircraft flew through localizer. During the continued turn the autopilot was inadvertently disconnected from the yoke which allowed the aircraft to descend below the assigned altitude while getting established on the approach. The situation was recognized and corrective action was taking place as Approach Control gave an altitude warning. The aircraft was stabilized and the approach was continued in VMC and a safe landing was made without incident.

Synopsis

King Air C90 E90 pilot reported during approach the autopilot was inadvertently disconnected, resulting in an excursion from assigned altitude and a low altitude warning from ATC.

ACN: 1905056 (31 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202205 Local Time Of Day : 0001-0600

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC Light : Night

Aircraft

Reference : X Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Helicopter Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Landing Airspace.Class E : PCT

Component

Aircraft Component : VHF Aircraft Reference : X Problem : Malfunctioning

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Reporter Organization : Contracted Service Function.Flight Crew : Captain Function.Flight Crew : Pilot Flying Qualification.Flight Crew : Air Transport Pilot (ATP) ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1905056 Human Factors : Distraction Human Factors : Troubleshooting Human Factors : Workload Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Communication Breakdown.Party1 : Flight Crew Communication Breakdown.Party2 : Flight Crew

Events

Anomaly.Aircraft Equipment Problem : Less Severe Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Anomaly.Ground Event / Encounter : Ground Equipment Issue Detector.Person : Flight Crew When Detected : In-flight Result.Flight Crew : Overcame Equipment Problem

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Aircraft Contributing Factors / Situations : Equipment / Tooling Contributing Factors / Situations : Environment - Non Weather Related Primary Problem : Equipment / Tooling

Narrative: 1

VHF radio interference on short final to landing at night at the interim helipad for Shady Grove Hospital (Rockville). Something, most likely the Landing Zone lighting equipment, creates full, steady static on VHF radios in the range of 123.00 to 135.00. This total static interference happens only at night and has been experienced by several pilots at the same parking lot Landing Zone numerous times, but only at night. This creates communication difficulties within the aircraft during a challenging phase of flight, during a challenging time of day at night. These events impact the last 10 seconds, 100 ft. of flight into a parking surrounded by trees on three sides and elevated parking lot lights on the other. This location accounts for XX percent of the company's transports over the past 3 months (XX transports) so this issue is an often re-occurring one. We suspect that an LED light bulb on either the new ground lights (green) or the illuminated windsock (white) could be the issue. Faulty bulb needs to be found and replaced.

Synopsis

Helicopter pilot reported VHF Radio interference at night while landing at the Shady Grove Hospital in Rockville, Maryland creates communications difficulties during a critical phase of flight. This is a recurring issue, reportedly caused by faulty ground lighting at the Landing Zone.

Date : 202204 Local Time Of Day : 1201-1800

Place

Locale Reference.Airport : ZZZ.Airport State Reference : US

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC Light : Daylight

Aircraft

Reference : X Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : MBB-BK 117 All Series Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Nav In Use : GPS Nav In Use : FMS Or FMC Flight Phase : Initial Approach Route In Use : Visual Approach

Person

Location Of Person. Aircraft : X Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Reporter Organization : Contracted Service Function.Flight Crew : Pilot Flying Function Flight Crew : Captain Qualification.Flight Crew : Instrument Qualification.Flight Crew : Air Transport Pilot (ATP) ASRS Report Number. Accession Number: 1895808 Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Human Factors : Situational Awareness Human Factors : Time Pressure Human Factors : Troubleshooting Human Factors : Workload Human Factors : Other / Unknown Human Factors : Distraction Communication Breakdown Party1 : Flight Crew Communication Breakdown.Party2 : Other

Events

Anomaly.Conflict : Ground Conflict, Critical Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Security Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : FAR Anomaly.Ground Event / Encounter : Person / Animal / Bird Detector.Person : Flight Crew Were Passengers Involved In Event : N When Detected : In-flight Result.Flight Crew : Executed Go Around / Missed Approach Result.Flight Crew : Took Evasive Action

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Airspace Structure Contributing Factors / Situations : Company Policy Contributing Factors / Situations : Environment - Non Weather Related Primary Problem : Ambiguous

Narrative: 1

On final to ZZZ, we observed a pedestrian walking along the sidewalk that borders the far edge of the "helipad" - actually just a driveway they use as a helipad. We noted it out loud among the great and continued our approach. As we got closer, the pedestrian noticed us... and stopped walking directly at our 12 o'clock, approximately 30 ft. from our intended touchdown spot but still on the border of the landing area. He brought out his phone and proceeded to take pictures of us. We elected to wave-off and made a brief 360 turn while still about 0.1 miles from the pad. The pedestrian resumed his walk to his car appeared to be a hospital employee - and we landed without incident. After shutdown, I asked the Security Officer, whom the pedestrian walked past to get to the landing area if he saw us wave off. He said yes. I asked him if he saw the pedestrian walk past him. He said yes. I told him that we waved off and delayed our landing due to the pedestrian. I then asked him why he did not stop the pedestrian from walking past the pad. His response was, "Ain't got nothing to do with me." I made sure that I was understanding correctly that he thinks that stopping the pedestrians from delaying medical care and keeping our operations safe was not part of his job. He responded affirmatively. Security and safety have never been a priority at ZZZ. Whether it's cars driving past, security cars that have their blue lights flashing and proceeding through the helipad / driveway while an aircraft is actually sitting on the pad, or pedestrian incursions, or the sloped driveway that passes for a helipad, or the lack of security vehicles that make the officers sometimes use their personal vehicles to block traffic - this place has always been a safety issue. This hospital is supposed to closed in the next few years as I understand it, and the sooner the better. Otherwise, a level, dedicated helipad with physical barriers would be great, instead of cones that blow away when we land. A remote landing zone might be safer for landing but I would expect vandalism to the aircraft if left unattended. No longer responding to flight requests from this hospital would also be appropriate.

Synopsis

Helicopter Captain reported while attempting to land on the helipad, a person was standing near the landing area, requiring the pilot to execute a missed approach and go-around.

Date : 202204 Local Time Of Day : 1201-1800

Place

Locale Reference.ATC Facility : ZDV.ARTCC State Reference : CO Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 19000

Aircraft

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Center : ZDV Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Small Transport Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Cruise Airspace.Class E : ZDV

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location Of Person.Facility : ZDV.ARTCC Reporter Organization : Government Function.Air Traffic Control : Enroute Experience.Air Traffic Control.Time Certified In Pos 1 (yrs) : 19 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1894727 Human Factors : Time Pressure

Events

Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Anomaly.No Specific Anomaly Occurred : Unwanted Situation Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control When Detected : In-flight Result.General : Flight Cancelled / Delayed Result.Flight Crew : Requested ATC Assistance / Clarification

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Company Policy Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Company Policy

Narrative: 1

Aircraft X was direct ZZZ4 coming from ZZZ2 sector 5 and PVD'd (pointed out) the aircraft to sector 13 to coordinate the direct routing. Once I received communication with the pilot I checked on the urgency of the Medevac status and the pilot stated it was the most

urgent situation needing direct so I relayed this information to sector 13. Sector 13 called me back and stated that D01 (Denver TRACON) denied the direct routing. I issued the LOA routing, (ZZZZ.VX.ZZZ3.ZZZ4) and let my Supervisor on duty know that the aircraft had been denied direct ZZZ4 and that their situation was urgent. No change was allowed for the Medevac. The pilot gave the doctor's name and phone number and stated he hasn't had a more urgent situation and needed direct. I calculated the miles and it was approximately 30 extra miles the Aircraft X would fly. Please help with these. This is one in more than 10 medevacs that I have worked in the last couple months that have been denied direct routing from Denver TRACON (D01). Every one has been denied. Our ATM (Air Traffic Manager) has now even backed D01 and put out a memo that we are to follow the LOA routings on Medevacs. I recommend that we allow these aircraft to go direct. This is the first one that I have submitted just because of how urgent this one was.

Synopsis

A Denver Center Controller reported Denver TRACON would not approve direct routing to destination for a Medevac flight requesting priority handling. The Center Controller reported this is a recurring issue and TRACON policy.

Date : 202204 Local Time Of Day : 1201-1800

Place

Locale Reference.Airport : ZZZ.Airport State Reference : US Relative Position.Distance.Nautical Miles : 1 Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 3500

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC Weather Elements / Visibility.Visibility : 10 Light : Daylight Ceiling.Single Value : 25000

Aircraft: 1

Reference : X Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Bell Helicopter Textron Undifferentiated or Other Model Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 3 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : VFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Cruise Route In Use : Direct Airspace.Class G : ZZZ

Aircraft: 2

Reference : Y Make Model Name : PA-28R Cherokee Arrow All Series Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Flight Plan : VFR Flight Phase : Cruise Airspace.Class G : ZZZ

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Reporter Organization : Contracted Service Function.Flight Crew : Single Pilot Function.Flight Crew : Pilot Flying Function.Flight Crew : Captain Qualification.Flight Crew : Instrument Qualification.Flight Crew : Commercial Qualification.Flight Crew : Rotorcraft Experience.Flight Crew.Total : 3200 Experience.Flight Crew.Last 90 Days : 30 Experience.Flight Crew.Type : 2000 ASRS Report Number. Accession Number : 1888981 Human Factors : Confusion Human Factors : Distraction Human Factors : Workload Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Communication Breakdown.Party1 : Flight Crew Communication Breakdown.Party2 : Flight Crew

Events

Anomaly.Conflict : NMAC Detector.Person : Flight Crew Miss Distance.Horizontal : 1000 Miss Distance.Vertical : 200 When Detected : In-flight Result.Flight Crew : Took Evasive Action

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Airspace Structure Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Primary Problem : Human Factors

Narrative: 1

On Date at approximately XA39 we departed from the Hospital located next door to ZZZ airport in a EMS helicopter. I made an initial radio call and heard and seen no traffic in the pattern. A crew member aboard called out traffic at 10 to 11 o'clock at a low altitude, we were showing around 1500 ft. and climbing. The traffic appeared to be very low only a few hundred ft. above the ground and appeared to be circling over some houses. I made another radio call stating our altitude, intended flight path, and intended landing location, and still heard no radio traffic reply. As we were continuing our climb up to 3500 ft. and our desired heading, the airplane then rolled out of his turn, starting climbing to match our altitude and then turned at our 9 o'clock to a heading that would be direct to the left side of the helicopter. Not knowing his intentions and the climb rate he was ascending, I stopped my climb and leveled out at 2500 ft. The airplane then passed directly underneath our helicopter at approximately 200 ft. below according to my onboard traffic avoidance system. I thought perhaps the airplane had not seen us and was not monitoring the frequency or did not have a radio. My crew member then stated the aircraft had passed so close as he flew under us that he could see his face and made eye contact with the pilot of the airplane. We then started our climb again and the airplane turned his course to match ours, and then leveled off directly under the helicopter with his altitudes varying from 100 ft. to 300 ft. below us. Not knowing his intentions I continued to climb to try to create more vertical separation but the airplane continued to change his altitude to maintain around 200 ft. below us. I then started to change my direction by 10 degrees to the right but then the airplane changed his direction to match still flying directly under the aircraft and still keeping around 200 to 300 ft. below us. I then turned to left, again trying to get this airplane out from under us, but again he changed direction to match. I made several calls on the radio but did not receive any replies. The airplane then turned slight to move to our 5:00 position still at the same altitudes and remained there for approximately 1 minute, then he changed course to move his position to our 7:00, all this time he was probably less than 1/2 mile in separation from us horizontally and during these position changes was now matching our altitude again. The aircraft then started a slow turn to the left and finally was moving away from us. The aircraft was now off our 10 o'clock position and flying very low in mountainous terrain. A couple of minutes later as I was on final, I

heard him make a radio call that he was entering a left downwind for Runway XX at ZZZ1. My first thought as this situation happened was that the pilot didn't see us. It became clear though after the first near miss that the airplane pilot had a visual on us and apparently thought perhaps it would be funny to keep doing this. This whole occurrence lasted approximately 8 to 10 minutes. The pilot's actions were very dangerous and reckless. If I had not had two crew members to keep eyes on the airplane when he was not directly below me, or if I had not had a traffic avoidance system, this situation could have turned very bad. I could have been responding to a scene request and been landing in any of the fields below us in which case I could have been making altitude and heading changes that would have been unexpected by the airplane. I hope this pilot is contacted and at least educated of the dangers of his actions.

Synopsis

Helicopter pilot reported a NMAC with another aircraft that appeared to be intentionally following them.

Date : 202203 Local Time Of Day : 0601-1200

Place

Locale Reference.Airport : ZZZ.Airport State Reference : US Relative Position.Angle.Radial : 310 Relative Position.Distance.Nautical Miles : 4 Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 1800

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC Weather Elements / Visibility.Visibility : 4 Ceiling.Single Value : 300

Aircraft

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.TRACON : ZZZ Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : PC-12 Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Initial Approach Route In Use.Other Airspace.Class D : ZZZ

Person

Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Reporter Organization : Air Taxi Function.Flight Crew : Single Pilot Function Flight Crew : Pilot Flying Qualification.Flight Crew : Instrument Qualification.Flight Crew : Multiengine Qualification.Flight Crew : Air Transport Pilot (ATP) Qualification Flight Crew : Flight Instructor Experience.Flight Crew.Total: 9100 Experience.Flight Crew.Last 90 Days : 50 Experience. Flight Crew. Type: 400 ASRS Report Number. Accession Number: 1883808 Human Factors : Distraction Human Factors : Human-Machine Interface Human Factors : Situational Awareness Human Factors : Workload Human Factors : Confusion

Events

Anomaly. Deviation - Altitude : Excursion From Assigned Altitude Anomaly. Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Anomaly. Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Clearance Anomaly. Inflight Event / Encounter : CFTT / CFIT Detector Automation : Aircraft Terrain Warning Detector.Person : Flight Crew Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control Miss Distance.Vertical: 600 When Detected : In-flight Result.Flight Crew : FLC complied w / Automation / Advisory Result.Flight Crew : Requested ATC Assistance / Clarification Result.Flight Crew : Executed Go Around / Missed Approach Result.Flight Crew : Became Reoriented Result.Air Traffic Control : Provided Assistance Result. Air Traffic Control : Issued New Clearance Result.Air Traffic Control : Issued Advisory / Alert

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Airspace Structure Contributing Factors / Situations : Chart Or Publication Contributing Factors / Situations : Software and Automation Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Primary Problem : Human Factors

Narrative: 1

I had been cleared for the ILS XX approach at ZZZ and directed to maintain 3,000 ft. until established. I was in VMC conditions with a broken layer well below my altitude. I was vectored to the final approach course and intercepted it utilizing GPS. Outside the FAF, I attempted to switch NAV sources from GPS to LOC. I believed I had set the NAV 1 radio to VLOC. I later discovered that I had selected VLOC in the NAV 2 radio which was why I was unable to find a LOC 1 setting in my NAV source menu. Switching NAV sources caused the autopilot to disconnect. When I was unable to locate a "LOC 1" in my NAV source menu, I became distracted by that issue and did not notice that the aircraft had entered a descent. When I did notice the descent, I incorrectly believed I was inside the FAF. Approximately $\frac{1}{2}$ mile outside the FAF, I received a GPWS altitude alert. I responded to the alert by adding power and initiating a climb. Simultaneously, ATC issued a low altitude alert. I advised ATC that I was climbing and requested vectors for another approach. All of this occurred in VMC conditions above a low broken/scattered layer. The subsequent approach was completed without incident through a thin low broken/scattered cloud layer. Learning points. I will ensure that I closely monitor which radio I have configured for the approach. When the autopilot is engaged, I will ensure that I temporarily select HDG mode when changing NAV sources. When I had trouble with the NAV source selection, I should have reengaged the autopilot in HDG and ALT mode while I troubleshot the issue. If the issue could not be troubleshot in a timely manner, it would have been appropriate to request vectors for another approach, this would have provided additional time to identify the issue. While I regret that this incident occurred, I am thankful for my training which enabled me to immediately, and appropriately, respond to the GPWS message. In addition, I am appreciative of the alert ATC Controller who issued the low altitude alert. I am committed to lifetime learning and view this incident as a significant event that will help me be a more proficient pilot. I also plan to share with other pilots so that they may benefit from my learning experience.

Synopsis

PC-12 pilot reported they switched NAV sources which caused the auto pilot to disconnect resulting in the aircraft descending too low on the approach and a Ground Proximity Warning along with an ATC Low Altitude Alert.

ACN: 1882418 (36 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202203 Local Time Of Day : 0601-1200

Place

Locale Reference.ATC Facility : ZKC.ARTCC State Reference : KS Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 40000

Aircraft

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Center : ZKC Make Model Name : Small Transport, Low Wing, 2 Turbojet Eng Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Cruise Airspace.Class A : ZKC

Person

Location Of Person.Facility : ZKC.ARTCC Reporter Organization : Government Function.Air Traffic Control : Enroute Qualification.Air Traffic Control : Fully Certified Experience.Air Traffic Control.Time Certified In Pos 1 (yrs) : 16 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1882418 Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Human Factors : Confusion Human Factors : Distraction Human Factors : Distraction Human Factors : Time Pressure Human Factors : Situational Awareness Communication Breakdown.Party1 : ATC Communication Breakdown.Party2 : ATC

Events

Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : FAR Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Clearance Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control When Detected : In-flight Result.General : None Reported / Taken

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Airspace Structure Contributing Factors / Situations : Environment - Non Weather Related Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Procedure

Narrative: 1

Aircraft X, a MEDEVAC, was filed into ZZZ. He requested priority handling and direct ZZZ with the controller at R24 in ZKC. When coordinated with the controller at ZDV, they were told unable. When asked why, ZDV controller informed ZKC controller that Denver approach does not accept any aircraft, including MEDEVAC, direct to their landing airport. R24 controller informed me, the OS (Operations Supervisor). I called ZDV Sector 17/18 OS to discuss further. ZDV OS said that it was a battle they had fought with Denver approach for years, and gave me the phone number to approach. I called and talked to the OS at Denver approach who said the Denver region had ruled that they were in the right, that they did not have to allow MEDEVAC aircraft to go direct despite their request for priority handling. When asked to elaborate, Approach OS said that it put them in too close proximity to outbound aircraft. I reminded them that when a MEDEVAC requests priority they are authorized to go direct that it is our responsibility as controllers to move other aircraft as necessary to miss them. Frustrated, Approach OS told me I could take it up with their OM (Manager). I then called to talk with their OM. He reiterated the same that the OS had, that they felt it put aircraft in unsafe proximity. I informed him also that it was our responsibility to move aircraft as needed to not delay a MEDEVAC that had requested priority handling. He informed me that the Denver regional office had told them what they were doing was OK. By doing this, they have created a culture that does not accommodate to MEDEVAC aircraft as we are required to do, causing confusion with not only other controllers, but also with pilots of these aircraft that are able to receive the correct priority handling anywhere else they request it. This confusion and refusal to accommodate results in an unsafe situation for the pilots expecting to be handled there the same way they are throughout the rest of the NAS. Denver approach should be appropriately accommodating MEDEVAC aircraft as we are required to do, and not causing confusion for controllers and pilots alike that do not understand why one airport has an inappropriate procedure in place that contradicts the procedures required and adhered to by the rest of the NAS.

Synopsis

ZKC Controller reported not being able to let a Medevac aircraft go direct to an airport due to D01 Approach control not letting them. Reportedly, this is standard procedure and reporter expressed concern about the confusion it creates.

ACN: 1879105 (37 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202202 Local Time Of Day : 1801-2400

Place

Locale Reference.ATC Facility : ZZZ.ARTCC State Reference : US Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 30000

Environment

Light : Night

Aircraft

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Center : ZZZ Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Light Transport, Low Wing, 2 Turbojet Eng Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 91 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Cruise Flight Phase : Climb Airspace.Class A : ZZZ

Component: 1

Aircraft Component : GPS & Other Satellite Navigation Aircraft Reference : X Problem : Failed

Component: 2

Aircraft Component : DME Aircraft Reference : X Problem : Failed

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Function.Flight Crew : Captain Qualification.Flight Crew : Instrument Qualification.Flight Crew : Multiengine Qualification.Flight Crew : Air Transport Pilot (ATP) ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1879105 Human Factors : Confusion Human Factors : Distraction Human Factors : Human-Machine Interface Human Factors : Workload

Events

Anomaly.Aircraft Equipment Problem : Critical Anomaly.Deviation - Track / Heading : All Types Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Clearance Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Detector.Person : Flight Crew Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control When Detected : In-flight Result.Flight Crew : Overcame Equipment Problem Result.Flight Crew : Diverted Result.Flight Crew : Became Reoriented Result.Flight Crew : Requested ATC Assistance / Clarification Result.Air Traffic Control : Issued New Clearance

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Aircraft Contributing Factors / Situations : Software and Automation Contributing Factors / Situations : ATC Equipment / Nav Facility / Buildings Primary Problem : Aircraft

Narrative: 1

Lost GPS/FMS long range Nav capabilities at night on climb out of ZZZZ 30,000 ft. to 40,000 feet. Autopilot also was inoperable, possibly due to loss of Nav. Received error messages "heading ref fail/ADC input fail/400mhz ref fail/GPS 1 and 2 diff over 24NM/FMS diff over 24 NM and Position Uncertain" on the CDU. Center's controller asked if we were direct to ZZZ, I said I thought so but asked for a radar vector. This was after verifying our heading matched the compass. We then descended out of RVSM while hand flying. Now that I have had a chance to think about it, we could have been very far of course, that is why the controller asked if we were going direct, because we might not have been. We were able to get limited Nav capability by tuning in the VOR, but the DME didn't work on either pilot or copilot side. So we relied on radar vectors to a visual for the landing runway XX and landed uneventfully. Luckily our divert location, ZZZ, had nice weather. We originally on the way to ZZZ1. I did not declare an emergency because I was not requesting additional assistance (other than the vector) or having the fire trucks meet us on landing. Looking back on it, we should have declared an emergency in order to highlight ourselves. We did not have a patient on board. Since multiple, independent systems failed, an electrical problem could have caused this.

Synopsis

Air ambulance jet pilot reported multiple navigation equipment failures and diverted to a nearby airport.

ACN: 1875909 (38 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202202

Place

Altitude.AGL.Single Value: 0

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC Light : Dawn

Aircraft

Reference : X Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Eurocopter AS 350/355/EC130 - Astar/Twinstar/Ecureuil Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Parked

Component

Aircraft Component : DC Battery Aircraft Reference : X Problem : Malfunctioning

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Reporter Organization : Air Carrier Function.Flight Crew : Captain Function.Flight Crew : Pilot Flying Qualification.Flight Crew : Commercial Qualification.Flight Crew : Instrument ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1875909 Human Factors : Situational Awareness Human Factors : Troubleshooting

Events

Anomaly.Aircraft Equipment Problem : Critical Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Detector.Person : Flight Crew Were Passengers Involved In Event : N When Detected : Aircraft In Service At Gate Result.General : Maintenance Action Result.General : Flight Cancelled / Delayed

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Aircraft Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Aircraft

Narrative: 1

Batt V 23.2 prior to start, initiated start and Batt V dropped to 13.4V but recovered quickly through 14V. Continued scan of VEMD, T4 rising normally, oil pressure check, and when scanning back to Batt V, noticed T4 needle moving quickly. Moved start selector to OFF and immediately noted OVERLIMIT DETECTED on upper VEMD. Accessed Maintenance pages and noted T4 of 871'C. Batteries that are cycled through show good voltage on preflight / before start checks, but how they will respond to a starter load is an unknown. Future first flight of day starts during cold weather / cold aircraft will be Start Pak assisted.

Synopsis

Eurocopter AS 350 pilot reported low battery voltage caused an engine over temperature on start. The engine start was discontinued and maintenance was notified.

Date : 202201 Local Time Of Day : 1201-1800

Place

Locale Reference.Airport : ZZZ.Airport State Reference : US Altitude.AGL.Single Value : 1000

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC Weather Elements / Visibility.Visibility : 10 Light : Daylight

Aircraft: 1

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.CTAF : ZZZ Aircraft Operator : Personal Make Model Name : M-20 E Super 21 Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Flight Plan : None Mission : Personal Flight Phase : Final Approach Airspace.Class E : ZZZ

Aircraft: 2

Reference : Y ATC / Advisory.CTAF : ZZZ Make Model Name : Small Aircraft, High Wing, 1 Eng, Fixed Gear Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Flight Phase : Final Approach Airspace.Class E : ZZZ

Aircraft: 3

Reference : Z ATC / Advisory.CTAF : ZZZ Make Model Name : Helicopter Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Mission : Ambulance Airspace.Class E : ZZZ

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Reporter Organization : Personal Function.Flight Crew : Pilot Flying Qualification.Flight Crew : Multiengine Qualification.Flight Crew : Commercial Qualification.Flight Crew : Glider Qualification.Flight Crew : Instrument Experience.Flight Crew.Total : 1100 Experience.Flight Crew.Last 90 Days : 10 Experience.Flight Crew.Type : 250 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1873909 Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Human Factors : Distraction Human Factors : Distraction Human Factors : Situational Awareness Human Factors : Confusion Communication Breakdown.Party1 : Flight Crew Communication Breakdown.Party2 : Flight Crew

Events

Anomaly.Conflict : NMAC Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Detector.Person : Flight Crew When Detected : In-flight Result.General : None Reported / Taken

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Airport Contributing Factors / Situations : Airspace Structure Contributing Factors / Situations : Environment - Non Weather Related Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Primary Problem : Human Factors

Narrative: 1

I was flying my Mooney M20E. It was approximately XA:15 pm. I was squawking 1200 with Mode C, and ADS-B (out) turned-on. The rotating beacon/strobe light was on. I was approaching ZZZ, from the northwest at about 2,400 ft. MSL (1,300 ft. AGL). Class B airspace overlays this area beginning at 3,000 ft. MSL. I was listening to ZZZ CTAF and heard a Cessna making position reports while making touch and go's, left traffic Runway XX. There was a piece of property I wanted to see that is approximately 6 miles west of ZZZ. I made a visual scan and made a left 360 degree turn at a bank angle varying between 20 and 30 degrees. I straightened out heading east toward ZZZ and reported, "~ZZZ traffic, Mooney, approximately 5 miles west, landing ZZZ.' As I approached ZZZ descended to 2,100 ft. MSL, (Class B airspace begins at 2,400 MSL just east of ZZZ, and pattern altitude is 1,800 ft. MSL.) I again heard the Cessna reporting he was upwind and saw him above the runway. I reported, "~ZZZ traffic, Mooney, two miles west, will cross mid-field, left traffic, full stop XX. I have the Cessna on upwind and, Sir, I will follow you." The Cessna pilot called, "~Sir we have you in sight, we can extend our upwind and we'll be behind you.' I reported, ""Thank you sir that will work. Mooney is now a half mile west about to cross mid-field, left traffic XX. At that moment I heard on ZZZ CTAF, "~Mooney, MEDEVAC, thank you for flying within 500 ft. of us.' I was configuring my aircraft for landing and extending my landing gear. I immediately reported, ZZZ traffic, Mooney, crossing mid-field, left traffic for XX, MEDEVAC say again?' I again heard, ""Mooney, MEDEVAC, thank you for flying within 500 ft. of us.' I was immediately concerned I had failed to see or hear an aircraft in the pattern and I was in conflict with it. I was on downwind and about to turn left base, I reported, "~ZZZ traffic Mooney, left downwind XX. Sir, I'm sorry. I didn't hear you or see you, where are you?' I heard, ""We were on ZZZ approach south.' It seemed the MEDEVAC was not in the pattern and was somewhere

south of ZZZ. I did not hear any other communications from the MEDEVAC. I immediately asked a fellow pilot at the FBO if a MEDEVAC had been at ZZZ. He said no. I don't know what happened. I never saw the MEDEVAC and the other pilot did not communicate where this happened, his heading or altitude. My best guess is that this happened west of ZZZ, either as I flew a 360 degree turn around a property, approximately 6 miles to the west or as I flew east toward ZZZ to land. My radio contact with the MEDEVAC occurred at XA: 20 pm. I don't believe I made any violations during this flight. I was diligent and clear of Class B airspace. If the helicopter was below me, I may not have seen it due to ground clutter, or the wing nose or window posts from my airplane. I believe I would have seen the other aircraft if it was above the horizon. I have learned some lessons from this event. 1. MEDEVAC helicopters often transit north to south and south to north, flying west of ZZZ. I should be especially diligent looking for them and other transiting traffic. 2. Be diligent scanning for traffic and making clearing turns while observing property. 3. Monitor ZZZ approach while flying beneath Class B airspace. 4. Turn on the landing light five miles of my destination. 5. I've purchased an ADS-B in capability.

Synopsis

Pilot reported a NMAC with a MEDEVAC helicopter.

Date : 202112 Local Time Of Day : 1801-2400

Place

Locale Reference.Airport : OSU.Airport State Reference : OH Relative Position.Angle.Radial : 150 Relative Position.Distance.Nautical Miles : 3 Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 1800

Environment

Weather Elements / Visibility.Visibility : 10 Weather Elements / Visibility.Other Ceiling.Single Value : 25000

Aircraft: 1

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Tower : OSU Aircraft Operator : Corporate Make Model Name : Any Unknown or Unlisted Aircraft Manufacturer Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : VFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Landing Route In Use : Visual Approach Airspace.Class D : OSU

Aircraft: 2

Reference : Y ATC / Advisory.Tower : OSU Make Model Name : Small Aircraft Flight Plan : VFR Flight Phase : Landing Airspace.Class D : OSU

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Reporter Organization : Corporate Function.Flight Crew : Single Pilot Function.Flight Crew : Pilot Flying Qualification.Flight Crew : Rotorcraft Qualification.Flight Crew : Instrument Qualification.Flight Crew : Commercial Experience.Flight Crew.Total : 18200 Experience.Flight Crew.Last 90 Days : 60 Experience.Flight Crew.Type : 1000 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1861662

Events

Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Conflict : NMAC Detector.Person : Flight Crew Miss Distance.Horizontal : 400 Miss Distance.Vertical : 0 When Detected : In-flight Result.Flight Crew : Took Evasive Action

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Airport Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Airport

Narrative: 1

While inbound to OSU from the SE contacted tower at 5 nm out for landing at North ramp. Was advise of traffic on downwind and reported in sight. Was told to report Bethel as approaching Bethel Tower instructed me to turn west. Responded turning west as I did Aircraft Y on downwind had closed closer than expected and the turn west place me in direct conflict head on. I had to increase bank to 40 degrees to avoid collision.

Synopsis

Pilot reported while inbound to OSU, ATC instructed a turn to the west, which resulted in a NMAC with an aircraft in pattern.

ACN: 1860001 (41 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202111 Local Time Of Day : 1201-1800

Place

Locale Reference.ATC Facility : ZZZ.Tower State Reference : US

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC Light : Night

Aircraft

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Tower : ZZZ Aircraft Operator : Corporate Make Model Name : EC135 Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 91 Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Initial Approach Airspace.Class D : ZZZ

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Reporter Organization : Corporate Function.Flight Crew : Captain Function.Flight Crew : Pilot Flying Qualification.Flight Crew : Rotorcraft Qualification.Flight Crew : Air Transport Pilot (ATP) ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1860001 Human Factors : Situational Awareness Human Factors : Time Pressure

Events

Anomaly.Conflict : Airborne Conflict Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Clearance Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control When Detected : In-flight Result.Flight Crew : Took Evasive Action Result.Flight Crew : Became Reoriented Result.Air Traffic Control : Issued New Clearance

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Environment - Non Weather Related Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Primary Problem : Human Factors

Narrative: 1

I was enroute from ZZZ1 to ZZZ and the duty runway was XX. There was a commuter jet on final to XX which I had in sight. Tower clearance received "clear to land runway XY, remain north of runway XX". I acknowledged the clearance and lined up for Runway XY with a deceleration and descent. My plan was to decelerate and descend to Runway XY to time it where I would receive clearance to continue down Runway XY (south of Runway XX and to the Company ramp) after the landing jet traffic had passed me. It being night time, Tower felt as if I was getting too close to Runway XY/XX intersection so Tower transmitted "[Call sign] Stop". I acknowledged the order, my airspeed was a too fast to stop safely so I opted to turn away (wave off) from the runway to the north. Shortly thereafter Tower stated to make a 180, then proceeded to clear me to the ramp without further incident. With it being night time, with opposing runway traffic landing I should have just opted to either 1) request a downwind for Runway XX and then land behind the jet traffic or 2) set the aircraft down on Runway XY and waited for the jet to pass and receive a new clearance from tower to proceed down Runway XY.

Synopsis

Helicopter Pilot reported that while inbound for landing they did not stop the aircraft as directed by ATC, resulting in an airborne conflict with a jet landing on another runway.

Date : 202111 Local Time Of Day : 1201-1800

Place

Locale Reference.Airport : ZZZ.Airport State Reference : US Relative Position.Angle.Radial : 170 Relative Position.Distance.Nautical Miles : 6 Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 400

Environment

Flight Conditions : Mixed Weather Elements / Visibility.Visibility : 6 Light : Daylight Ceiling.Single Value : 900

Aircraft

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Tower : ZZZ Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Jet/Long Ranger/206 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : None Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Cruise Route In Use : Direct Airspace.Class C : ZZZ

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft: X Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Reporter Organization : Air Taxi Function Flight Crew : Captain Qualification. Flight Crew : Air Transport Pilot (ATP) Qualification.Flight Crew : Rotorcraft Qualification.Flight Crew : Instrument Experience.Flight Crew.Total: 7500 Experience.Flight Crew.Last 90 Days: 75 Experience.Flight Crew.Type: 6000 ASRS Report Number. Accession Number: 1857902 Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Human Factors : Situational Awareness Human Factors : Training / Qualification Human Factors : Workload Human Factors : Time Pressure Communication Breakdown.Party1 : Flight Crew Communication Breakdown.Party2 : ATC

Events

Anomaly.Airspace Violation : All Types Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : FAR Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control When Detected : In-flight Result.General : None Reported / Taken

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Primary Problem : Human Factors

Narrative: 1

Due to another helicopter inbound to the same HAA (Helicopter Air Ambulance) helipad I was occupying (ZZZ1), I had to hurry and leave medical crew to clear the pad and reposition to ZZZ. Weather was marginal as reported by ZZZ2 tower but above 1000/3. Enroute, the weather seemed lower towards ZZZ, I obtained ATIS V, reporting 6 mile vis and 900 BKN. I contacted ZZZ tower with request for landing at FBO and the ATIS id and I received the squawk code but I forgot to also request a SVFR clearance since it was controlled airspace with weather now below VMC at ZZZ. After my read-back and ZZZ verifying my position, to the best of my recollection, Tower stated something like "cleared into the charlie with special" and I replied "roger, inbound to [FBO] with victor". In hindsight, my mistake was that I forgot to ask for the SVFR clearance on initial call-up when I called to establish communications with the controlling agency, ZZZ Tower. Due to the haste of clearing the HAA helipad, deteriorating weather conditions (which were getting close to company weather minimums), flying at a lower altitude than normal (between 400 - 500 feet) and scanning outside more intently to avoid a potential collision with antennas because of the lower altitude, ongoing radio traffic chatter, I believe I was getting task-saturated and time-compressed. Also, I haven't had to use SVFR clearance in over at least 10 years so it didn't come to mind. In hindsight, had I "slowed down" and "pre-planned" in my mind for the possibility of lower VFR weather conditions or even weather below VFR minimums as opposed to MVFR conditions when I lifted, that might have allowed me to recall the requirement for the SVFR clearance if weather was less than 1000/3 and request it accordingly upon my initial call to ZZZ tower.

Synopsis

Helicopter pilot reported not requesting a Special VFR clearance upon initial call to ATC to enter Class C airspace after the weather had gone below VFR conditions.

ACN: 1854017 (43 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202111 Local Time Of Day : 1201-1800

Place

Locale Reference.ATC Facility : ZZZ.Tower State Reference : US

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC Light : Night

Aircraft

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.UNICOM : ZZZ Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Eurocopter AS 350/355/EC130 - Astar/Twinstar/Ecureuil Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Cruise Airspace.Class D : ZZZ

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Reporter Organization : Air Taxi Function.Flight Crew : Captain Function.Flight Crew : Commercial Qualification.Flight Crew : Commercial Qualification.Flight Crew : Rotorcraft ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1854017 Human Factors : Human-Machine Interface Human Factors : Situational Awareness Human Factors : Workload Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Communication Breakdown.Party1 : Flight Crew Communication Breakdown.Party2 : ATC

Events

Anomaly.Airspace Violation : All Types Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : FAR Detector.Person : Other Person When Detected.Other Result.General : None Reported / Taken

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Airspace Structure Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Primary Problem : Human Factors

Narrative: 1

I had accepted a late shift interfacility transfer flight. After doing a quick flight/ time planning I determined that I could complete the flight within my 14 hours, but extending beyond my 12 hour shift. We picked up at facility in ZZZ1 and dropping off in ZZZ. This is an area that this base does not frequently cover and an area that I have never flown through. During the flight to ZZZ1 I was looking over my route and entering necessary enroute frequencies into the radio que. As I was looking over ZZZ, I had noticed the class E to the surface are extending to the northeast. With how Foreflight was set up and how a shaded barrier was overlaying the dashed blue line of the class D, I had determined on the fly, that the airspace was class E to the surface. This was while in flight. Thinking it was class E to the surface, I zoomed in and entered the UNICOM frequency and was broadcasting on it. I flew in, dropped the patient at the hospital and flew back out. After I got back to base, Company called me and said that the ZZZ ATC wanted me to call them. I called them and explained what had happened. This was me not thoroughly looking over the airspace like I should have. I need to be absolutely sure of the airspace I fly into, especially in areas that I have never been. I am sure complacency played a part as most airspace in the area, aside from the ZZZ2 Class B are either Class C or Class D. I have never had an airspace violation before. I am also and instructor and this is something that I make sure my students know very well. This event had an impact on me and I am certain, something like this will not happen again. I need to slow down and absolutely flight plan without multitasking before I take off.

Synopsis

Helicopter Pilot reported an airspace incursion occurred when they flew through Class D airspace thinking it was Class E.

Date : 202110 Local Time Of Day : 0601-1200

Place

Locale Reference.ATC Facility : ZDV.ARTCC State Reference : CO Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 19000

Aircraft: 1

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Center : ZDV Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Small Transport Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Cruise Airspace.Class A : ZDV

Aircraft: 2

Reference : Y ATC / Advisory.Center : ZDV Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : Small Transport Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Cruise Route In Use : Direct Airspace.Class A : ZDV

Person: 1

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location Of Person.Facility : ZDV.ARTCC Reporter Organization : Government Function.Air Traffic Control : Enroute Qualification.Air Traffic Control : Fully Certified Experience.Air Traffic Control.Time Certified In Pos 1 (yrs) : 10 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1849787 Human Factors : Time Pressure Human Factors : Other / Unknown

Person: 2

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location Of Person.Facility : ZDV.ARTCC Reporter Organization : Government Function.Air Traffic Control : Enroute Qualification.Air Traffic Control : Fully Certified Experience.Air Traffic Control.Time Certified In Pos 1 (yrs) : 14 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1849789 Human Factors : Troubleshooting Human Factors : Other / Unknown Human Factors : Time Pressure

Events

Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control When Detected : In-flight Result.Flight Crew : Requested ATC Assistance / Clarification

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Company Policy Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Company Policy

Narrative: 1

Aircraft X came off CAG VFR requesting an IFR clearance. After radar Identifying the aircraft, I gave them routing as filed which was CAG direct APA (which is inside of D01 (Denver TRACON) airspace). I advised the pilot that I would probably have to give them routing which would be LARKS.LARKS2.APA. I then verified with the pilot whether or not they were considered a Critical Medevac or not. The pilot verified that they had a patient on board and needed priority handling and wanted to stay direct to their destination. I then proceeded to call D01 west departures who would be the affected TRACON sector if the Medevac were to go direct and gave the information that the pilot gave me to that controller, and while on the line with the controller who multiple times told me he wanted to approve the expeditious routing responded that the Supervisor was walking by and said no to the Approval request that I was trying for this Critical Medevac. As I returned back to give the routing to the Pilot, they asked me if there was any way they could keep direct to help the patient, and I responded that it was up to D01 and they said full routing. The Pilot acknowledged, and then referenced his patient that they would try to keep them stable for the longer flight. This has been an ongoing problem that the controllers at D01 are unable to decide for themselves whether it is in their own good judgment or not to allow these Medevac flights more direct routing. On multiple occasions the receiving controller has indicated that they want to help these air ambulances, but now it appears they are threatened with possible disciplinary action if they allow more direct routing, even if there is no impact to their traffic. This is not the first critical that has been denied, and eventually there will be a death on one of these flights because they were rerouted and not given the priority that they needed, and the minutes that they could have saved with more direct routing could be all that it takes to save a life on these flights. I suggest that the receiving controllers should be the ones deciding if it is in their best judgment for the safe and expeditious flow of both their traffic and the proposed routing of these Medevacs rather than someone who is not working the sector.

Narrative: 2

Aircraft Y was handed to me direct APA. I requested approval for direct with Denver TRACON. Was told unable. Informed the pilot they would have to be put on routing unless they had extenuating circumstances. Pilot informed me they were a "critical" flight. I again requested approval with TRACON with new critical information. Was told to put aircraft on

Letter of Agreement routing. Opted not to declare an emergency for the pilot, delayed a critical Medevac flight by putting it on a longer route. Within the last month or so, Denver TRACON has been unabling almost all Medevacs requested direct APA. There has not been an explanation as to why this is happening. I feel we are risking real human lives by delaying these Medevacs in flight. I do not feel like I am behaving safely by rerouting these aircraft onto longer routes, especially when the pilot of the aircraft describes the flight as critical. I recommend that either: TRACON stop blanket denying direct routes, Denver Enroute be encouraged to declare emergencies for these pilots when the controller deems it advisable or someone explain why this change has been made, and why Medevacs are better off flying longer routes.

Synopsis

Denver Center Controllers reported Medevac flights requesting expedited routings and priority handling into APA are being denied by Denver TRACON.
ACN: 1846379 (45 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202110 Local Time Of Day : 1801-2400

Place

Locale Reference.ATC Facility : ZDV.ARTCC State Reference : CO Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 17000

Aircraft: 1

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Center : ZDV Make Model Name : Small Transport, Low Wing, 2 Turboprop Eng Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Cruise Airspace.Class A : ZDV

Aircraft : 2

Reference : Y ATC / Advisory.Center : ZDV Make Model Name : Small Transport, Low Wing, 2 Turboprop Eng Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Cruise Airspace.Class A : ZDV

Person: 1

Location Of Person.Facility : ZDV.ARTCC Reporter Organization : Government Function.Air Traffic Control : Enroute Qualification.Air Traffic Control : Fully Certified Experience.Air Traffic Control.Time Certified In Pos 1 (yrs) : 9 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1846379 Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Human Factors : Confusion Human Factors : Distraction Human Factors : Distraction Human Factors : Training / Qualification Human Factors : Troubleshooting Human Factors : Situational Awareness Communication Breakdown.Party1 : ATC Communication Breakdown.Party2 : ATC

Person: 2

Location Of Person.Facility : ZDV.ARTCC Reporter Organization : Government Function.Air Traffic Control : Enroute Experience.Air Traffic Control.Time Certified In Pos 1 (yrs) : 8 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1849193 Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Human Factors : Confusion Human Factors : Workload Human Factors : Situational Awareness Human Factors : Time Pressure Human Factors : Training / Qualification Communication Breakdown.Party1 : ATC Communication Breakdown.Party2 : ATC

Events

Anomaly.Airspace Violation : All Types Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Deviation - Track / Heading : All Types Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Clearance Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control When Detected : In-flight Result.Air Traffic Control : Provided Assistance

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Environment - Non Weather Related Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Procedure

Narrative: 1

Around XA: 30 local two MEDEVACs filed directly to their destinations. Aircraft X filed ZZZ direct BJC and Aircraft Y filed ZZZ1 direct APA. I went to relieve the controller on position when the following happened: Around XA: 33Z, the ZDV controller called west departure at D01 to APREQ both aircraft direct to their destinations. It was XA: 30 and it was slow. D01 responded with, "Unable." Then, the ZDV controller asked both MEDEVACs if they were critical. Aircraft Y said no and Aircraft X said yes. Around XA: 34Z, the ZDV controller called west departure at D01 again and explained that one was critical and one wasn't and that the non critical one, he'll take over the LARKS arrival but re-APREQ'd the critical Aircraft X direct BJC. D01 asked, "Is he an emergency?" ZDV Controller responded, "He's critical, yeah." D01 said, "I mean, you've got to have your sup call my sup." Around XA: 35Z the ZDV controller called the OM from the sector and explained the whole situation, ending it with, "So I'm asking you to call approach for a critical MEDEVAC." The OM asked for the call sign and said, "and did the pilot say what's critical? Did we solicit this? Did he say it's an emergency?" The ZDV controller re-explained how he had two MEDEVACs and took the noncritical one over the LARKS arrival and re-APREQ'd the critical one and that D01 said "no, have your sup call my sup." The OM replied, "OK, But did the airman say what was wrong?" The ZDV controller said, "No, I just said "are you critical and he said yes."" The OM said, "OK. So we solicited." The ZDV controller said "Yup." The OM said, "Alright, thank you." At that point, I decided to go up front to talk to the OMIC's to figure out what was going on. Keep in mind that the OM didn't tell the ZDV controller whether or not they were going to call and the controller assumes that the OM is going to call to APREQ this, so he's patiently waiting to hear back. That whole conversation was an absolute mess that accomplished nothing. I was told to have my NATCA counterparts do something, in which I

responded that we can't do anything for an active flight and that the only thing left to do is have our management call D01's management (just like D01 said to do) so we could get this critical MEDEVAC the most expeditious route. Per the 7110.65, "Good judgment must be used in each situation to facilitate the most expeditious movement of a MEDEVAC aircraft." This was at XA: 30 and there was no west departure traffic. Ultimately, the OM's told me they wouldn't call because they believed they would just be told no and they didn't know what type of critical he was. Also, during this whole conversation, the ZDV controller is waiting to hear back from the OM to find out if this will be denied or not. If you look at ZZZ and run a simulation for Aircraft X continuing on direct BJC, the closest to any aircraft would have been Aircraft Z who was at FL180 2 miles west of BJC while Aircraft X would have been at pattern altitude around 7,000 ft MSL, 3 NM north of Aircraft Z's path. There's also no other IFR aircraft in or out of BJC at this time. There was one VFR C172, who would have touched down at BJC around XA: 46Z, when Aircraft X would have been 15 mi west of BJC if he had stayed direct. You don't see another aircraft departing BJC until XA: 55Z and Aircraft X would have touched down around XA: 49Z, 6 min ahead of that departure. So I can't figure out why they wouldn't approve this except for the fact that D01 has released an internal memo co-signed by their union and management representatives that their controllers adhere to strict LOA guidelines IAW Attachment 5. However, MEDEVAC aircraft should never be included in a blanket denial of APREQ's, which they have been doing for about 3 weeks now. So I went back to the area to let the controller know that management wasn't going to call. At this point, Another controller had been instructed to break off the airspace due to some EBUS equipment that tech ops was working on, so another controller took over Aircraft X. Around XA: 37Z, the new controller told Aircraft X that our management team was working on getting him direct, not knowing yet that they actually weren't. Aircraft X responded, "I hope so." Around XA: 39Z, the new ZDV controller called the north west arrival gate to APREQ the critical MEDEVAC in the north west arrival gate since that was the closest arrival in proximity to Aircraft X. The D01 controller responded, "They won't let me take him either. It's not my call. They won't let me do it." The new ZDV controller said, "He's critical. I can't even take him over TOMSN?" The D01 controller responded, "If he's an emergency I can." So the new ZDV controller put Aircraft X on a heading towards the south west arrival gate to put him on the POWDR arrival that causes critical MEDEVAC Aircraft X to fly an additional 33 miles to get to BJC. Around XA: 43Z the ZDV controller points Aircraft X out to D01 west. Around XA: 45Z the new ZDV controller calls the D01 south west arrival gate for a manual hand off on Aircraft X. The D01 controller responds, "You can flash him straight to "L." He's point out approved." The new ZDV controller responds, "Uh, nope. They will not take him." The D01 controller replies, "They won't take him from right there?" Ultimately, this critical MEDEVAC, Aircraft X flies an additional 33 mi out of his way after we tried APREQing twice with D01 west, once with the OM, who wouldn't even make the phone call, and once with the D01 north west arrival controller who claimed it wasn't his call and said, "They won't let me do it." I'm not sure who "they" are but it seems malicious and negligent to just deny this and instruct other controllers to deny this as well. This is clearly in violation of the 7110.65 2-1-4 b. 1) Provide priority handling to civil air ambulance flights when the pilot, in radio transmissions, verbally identifies the flight by stating "MEDEVAC" followed by the FAA authorized call sign or the full civil registration letters/numbers. Good judgment must be used in each situation to facilitate the most expeditious movement of a MEDEVAC aircraft. I don't know how to fix this, which is why I'm reporting it. Our management won't help us out when they won't even make a phone call so our hands are completely tied. The only recommendation I can think of is some sort of audit be done on D01 from an outside source. As I stated in a previous report, per the 7110.65, "Good judgment must be used in each situation to facilitate the most expeditious movement of a MEDEVAC aircraft." A blanket unable and our management instructing us to stop APREQing ANYTING with D01 is

malicious and negligent, especially when we are dealing with air ambulances. When we drive on the street and see an ambulance coming, everybody pulls over so the ambulance, an emergency vehicle, can get to their destination as quickly as possible. I've been working here for almost XX years and we have always been able to accommodate MEDEVAC aircraft direct. Every now and then it was "unabled" but it was very rare. To not look at every situation individually and evaluate it case by case to help move the MEDEVAC safely and expeditiously is shameful and a new low for D01. This is just one of many examples over the past few weeks. D01 has been making it very difficult to get and MEDEVACs direct. I don't know how to change the culture down there besides filling out an report, but its getting worse and worse and like I said, this is a new low. I just hope these reports bring attention to what's going on between ZDV and D01, especially since they're gambling with patients lives to prove whatever point they're trying to make. Unnecessarily delaying MEDEVACs EVERYTIME is not ethical and this needs to be reviewed ASAP.

Narrative: 2

On night and around XA: 30, two MEDEVACs filed directly to their destinations. Aircraft X filed ZZZ direct BJC and Aircraft Y filed ZZZ1 direct APA. I was splitting out Sector 6 when the following happened: Around XA: 33Z, the ZDV controller called west departure at D01 to APREQ both aircraft direct to their destinations. It was XA: 30, local, and it was extremely slow. We were already in mid configuration and the only reason we had to split the sectors was because of a new rule that ZDV has put into place regarding backup channels. Anyway, extremely slow with no more than one proposed out the west gate. D01 responded with, "Unable." Then, the ZDV controller asked both MEDEVACs if they were critical. Aircraft Y said no and Aircraft X said yes. Around XA: 34Z, the ZDV controller called west departure at D01 again and explained that one was critical and one wasn't and that the non critical one, he'll take over the LARKS arrival but reAPREQ'd the critical Aircraft X direct BJC. D01 asked, "Is he an emergency?" ZDV Controller responded, "He's critical, yeah." D01 said, "I mean, you've got to have your sup call my sup." Around XA: 35Z the ZDV controller called the OM from the sector and explained the whole situation, ending it with, "So I'm asking you to call approach for a critical MEDEVAC." The OM asked for the call sign and said, "And did the pilot say what's critical? Did we solicit this? Did he say it's an emergency?" The ZDV controller reexplained how he had two MEDEVACs and took the noncritical one over the LARKS arrival and reAPREQ'd the critical one and that D01 said "No, have your sup call my sup." The OM replied, "OK, But did the airman say what was wrong?" The ZDV controller said, "No, I just said "are you critical and he said yes."" The OM said, "OK. So we solicited." The ZDV controller said "Yup." The OM said, "Alright, thank you." At that point, our union rep who was watching the whole thing unfold, decided to go up front to talk to the OMICs to figure out what was going on. Keep in mind that the OM didn't tell the ZDV controller whether or not they were going to call and the controller assumes that the OM is going to call to APREQ this, so he's patiently waiting to hear back. That whole conversation was an absolute mess that accomplished nothing. I was told that the OM told our union rep to have 'His NATCA counterparts do something', in which he responded that we can't do anything for an active flight and that the only thing left to do is have our management call D01's management (just like D01 said to do) so we could get this critical MEDEVAC the most expeditious route. Per the 7110.65, "Good judgment must be used in each situation to facilitate the most expeditious movement of a MEDEVAC aircraft." This was at XA: 30 and there was no west departure traffic. Ultimately, the OM's told our union rep that they wouldn't call because they believed they would just be told no and they didn't know what type of critical he was. Also, during this whole conversation, the ZDV controller is waiting to hear back from the OM to find out if this will be denied or not. If you look at ZZZ and run a simulation for Aircraft X continuing on direct BJC, the closest to any aircraft would have been Aircraft Z, who was at FL180 2 miles west of BJC while Aircraft X would have been at pattern altitude around

7,000 ft MSL, 3 NM north of Aircraft Z's path. There's also no other IFR aircraft in or out of BJC at this time. There was one VFR C172, who would have touched down at BJC around XA: 46Z, when Aircraft X would have been 15 mi west of BJC if he had stayed direct. You don't see another aircraft departing BJC until XA: 55Z and Aircraft X would have touched down around XA: 49Z, 6 min ahead of that departure. So I can't figure out why they wouldn't approve this except for the fact that D01 has released an internal memo cosigned by their union and management representatives that their controllers adhere to strict LOA guidelines IAW Attachment 5. However, MEDEVAC aircraft should never be included in a blanket denial of APREQ's, which they have been doing for about 3 weeks now. Continuing on, the union rep came back to the area to let the us know that management wasn't going to call. At this point, I had been instructed to break off the airspace due to some EBUS equipment that tech ops was working on, so another controller took over Aircraft X. Around XA: 37Z, I told Aircraft X that our management team was working on getting him direct, not knowing yet that they actually weren't. Aircraft X responded, "I hope so." Around XA: 39Z, I called the north west arrival gate to APREQ the critical MEDEVAC in the north west arrival gate since that was the closest arrival in proximity to Aircraft X. The D01 controller responded, "They won't let me take him either. It's not my call. They won't let me do it." I pressed, "He's critical. I can't even take him over TOMSN?" The D01 controller responded, "If he's an emergency I can." So then I put Aircraft X on a heading towards the south west arrival gate to put him on the POWDR arrival that causes critical MEDEVAC Aircraft X to fly an additional 33 miles to get to BJC. Around XA: 43Z, I point out Aircraft X out to D01 west. Around XA: 45Z I call the D01 south west arrival gate for a manual hand off on Aircraft X. The D01 controller responds, "You can flash him straight to "L." He's point out approved." I respond, "Uh, nope. They will not take him." The D01 controller replies, "They won't take him from right there?" Ultimately, this critical MEDEVAC, Aircraft X flies an additional 33 miles out of his way after we tried APREQing twice with D01 west, once with the OM, who wouldn't even make the phone call, and once with the D01 north west arrival controller who claimed it wasn't his call and said, "they won't let me do it." I'm not sure who "they" are but it seems malicious and negligent to just deny this and instruct other controllers to deny this as well. This is clearly in violation of the 7110.65 2-1-4 b. 1) Provide priority handling to civil air ambulance flights when the pilot, in radio transmissions, verbally identifies the flight by stating "MEDEVAC" followed by the FAA authorized call sign or the full civil registration letters/numbers. Good judgment must be used in each situation to facilitate the most expeditious movement of a MEDEVAC aircraft. I don't know how to fix this, which is why I'm reporting it. A good first step would be to thoroughly review our management team and figure out why they won't communicate with the controllers or OS team. And, this has been an issue here for years. Our OM team is never in the operation and are completely disconnected from what goes on down on the floor. Our OM management team refuse to help and communicate, which is why they wouldn't even make a phone call in this situation, so our hands are completely tied. It's the very least they can do and they can't even do that. They completely refuse to make a decision and would rather sit up front, do as little as possible, and collect a fat check. It's the epitome of government fraud, waste, and abuse. The only other recommendation I can think of is some sort of audit be done on D01 from an outside source. As I stated in a previous report per the 7110.65, "Good judgment must be used in each situation to facilitate the most expeditious movement of a MEDEVAC aircraft." A blanket unable and our management instructing us to stop APREQing ANYTING with D01 is malicious and negligent, especially when we are dealing with air ambulances. When we drive on the street and see an ambulance coming, everybody pulls over so the ambulance, an emergency vehicle, can get to their destination as guickly as possible. I've been working here for almost XX years and we have always been able to accommodate MEDEVAC aircraft direct. Every now and then it was "unabled" but it was very rare. To not look at every situation individually and evaluate it case by case to help move the

MEDEVAC safely and expeditiously is shameful and a new low for D01. This is just one of many examples over the past few weeks. D01 has been making it very difficult to get and MEDEVACs direct. I don't know how to change the culture down there besides filling out an report but its getting worse and worse and like I said, this is a new low. I just hope these reports bring attention to what's going on between ZDV and D01, especially since they're gambling with patients lives to prove whatever point they're trying to make. Unnecessarily delaying MEDEVACs EVERYTIME is not ethical and this needs to be reviewed ASAP.

Synopsis

Denver Center Controllers reported problems when trying to get priority handling with Denver TRACON on two MEDEVAC aircraft.

ACN: 1846365 (46 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202110 Local Time Of Day : 1201-1800

Place

Locale Reference.ATC Facility : ZDV.ARTCC State Reference : CO

Aircraft

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Center : ZDV Make Model Name : Small Transport, Low Wing, 2 Turboprop Eng Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Descent Airspace.Class A : ZDV

Person

Location Of Person. Facility : ZDV. ARTCC Reporter Organization : Government Function.Air Traffic Control: Enroute Qualification. Air Traffic Control : Fully Certified Experience. Air Traffic Control. Time Certified In Pos 1 (yrs): 15 ASRS Report Number. Accession Number: 1846365 Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Human Factors : Confusion Human Factors : Distraction Human Factors : Situational Awareness Human Factors : Training / Qualification Human Factors : Troubleshooting Human Factors : Workload Human Factors : Time Pressure Communication Breakdown.Party1 : ATC Communication Breakdown.Party2: ATC

Events

Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Deviation - Track / Heading : All Types Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Clearance Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control When Detected : In-flight Result.Air Traffic Control : Provided Assistance

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Environment - Non Weather Related Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Procedure

Narrative: 1

I was training a D-side trainee at the time. Aircraft X filed ZZZ..APA. 20 minutes prior to entering the airspace of Denver Approach, my trainee APREQed the Medevac direct APA (entering their airspace near the arrival fix TBARR) for Medevac status and to avoid weather over LOA routing. We were told "unable for now" and that they'd call us back. A few minutes later, someone called back and said that the request is denied. We were told to put them over LOA routing. His words were quick and mumbled, so I asked him to repeat that he wanted us to put the Medevac over longer routing. He said that he wanted the aircraft over LOA routing and then he said something about us "signing" the LOA document that requires this routing for aircraft. I said that this is why we are calling for an APREQ. That person had already hung up the line by this point. He never gave his initials. There were NO other aircraft inbound over the northwest arrival gate and no competing aircraft over the LOA routing. The closest DEN arrival was going to be approximately 8 minutes away from TBARR when Aircraft X entered their airspace, with no other Denver terminal aircraft arriving from the northwest. There were moderately low ceilings in the area along with rain, so I imagine that the skydive aircraft at LMO weren't even going up (if that's a worry for them). This is a systemic issue. Denials on direct routing for Medevac aircraft are occurring multiple times a day. Not allowing priority for Medevac aircraft is against the 7110.65. It is also contrary to the compassion of most humans. We (not me personally) have spoken with several pilots and they are filing Medevac status for flights only when they need it. The controllers at Denver Center have been informed that the management at Denver Approach have told their controllers to not accept any direct routing on Medevac flights. We have actually had a controller state over the recorded line that he would "get in trouble" for approving direct. It is unacceptable for an air traffic facility to have a vendetta against another facility and, subsequently, put people's lives in danger. And it is even more outrageous that people in the role of management are forcing the workforce to potentially endanger people. I could go on and on about how wrong and upsetting these incidents are for us. I can imagine that many reports are being submitted. Something needs to be done.

Synopsis

Denver Center Controller reported an ongoing problem with Denver TRACON not approving direct routing for MEDEVAC aircraft, to help expedite the aircraft.

ACN: 1846363 (47 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202110 Local Time Of Day : 1801-2400

Place

Locale Reference.ATC Facility : ZDV.ARTCC State Reference : CO Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 20000

Aircraft

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Center : ZDV Make Model Name : Small Transport, Low Wing, 2 Turboprop Eng Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Cruise Route In Use : Vectors Airspace.Class A : ZDV

Person: 1

Location Of Person.Facility : ZDV.ARTCC Reporter Organization : Government Function.Air Traffic Control : Enroute Qualification.Air Traffic Control : Fully Certified Experience.Air Traffic Control.Time Certified In Pos 1 (yrs) : 11 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1846363 Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Human Factors : Confusion Human Factors : Distraction Human Factors : Distraction Human Factors : Training / Qualification Human Factors : Workload Human Factors : Situational Awareness Communication Breakdown.Party1 : ATC Communication Breakdown.Party2 : ATC

Person: 2

Location Of Person.Facility : ZDV.ARTCC Reporter Organization : Government Function.Air Traffic Control : Enroute Experience.Air Traffic Control.Time Certified In Pos 1 (yrs) : 13 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1846389 Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Human Factors : Confusion Human Factors : Troubleshooting Human Factors : Distraction Human Factors : Situational Awareness Human Factors : Time Pressure Human Factors : Training / Qualification Communication Breakdown.Party1 : ATC Communication Breakdown.Party2 : ATC

Events

Anomaly.Airspace Violation : All Types Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Deviation - Track / Heading : All Types Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : FAR Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control When Detected : In-flight Result.Air Traffic Control : Provided Assistance

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Environment - Non Weather Related Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Procedure

Narrative: 1

Aircraft X entered D01 airspace not in accordance with LOA and without prior approval. Sector 21 controller called to APREQ Aircraft X direct APA from the north gate. APA was landing north, and this route would not have saved him time or miles, so the D01 controller advised Sector 21 "unable" and to put the aircraft on the LOA approved routing. ZDV controller called the D01 controller back and advised him, "he IS coming" and then did just that. Violated airspace and procedures and put the D01 controller in a bad position. Disband ZDV and split up their airspace to be shared between the adjacent centers. For decades ZDV has proven they are not team players in the NAS and are becoming a detriment to safety. If safety is our number one priority, lets prove that by eliminating the problem. At a minimum the controller who egregiously violated D01 airspace and forced an aircraft into D01 airspace without approved coordination should receive maximum disciplinary action available.

Narrative: 2

I would like to preface this event by stating that this is a hotly contested situation between 2 facilities (DEN ARTCC and DEN TRACON) right now and the policy that has been implemented by DEN TRACON which seems to fly in the face of the mission and guidelines of air traffic control which emphasizes safety and duty priorities defined in the 7110.65. It seems wrong on not only the level of giving undue delays to MEDEVAC aircraft as defined by the 7110.65, but also on a human level that eventually this could cost someone their life. I may have acted out of line, but this event involved a child on a critical MEDEVAC flight which is being denied priority handling and causing undue delays during what appeared to be a low traffic/low difficulty period in DEN TRACON's airspace. Apparently, all of the controllers are being told to deny almost every APREQ (Approach Requests) for direct to airports within DEN TRACON's airspace no matter what level of traffic they have. There was a document released recently that stated that APREQS could be accepted between the facilities, but they seem to be denying all of them regardless of that document for no good reason. This is unsafe and could be endangering the lives of the passengers in critical conditions on these flights. Again, I will act differently in future situations, but for the purpose of this one, I was getting very emotionally involved and acted too extremely. The situation occurred as follows. Aircraft X departed ZZZ and was

handed off to sector 21 currently on a direct route to APA. Aircraft X checked on at XA:04. I asked if they were critical today. They responded, "yes sir." I did double check that they were critical. At XA:00 I called the North Departure controller to APREQ trying to offer them at whatever altitude they would need them and to also offer them control. The TRACON controller's response was, "Ah, I am going to say unable, you can call and discuss it with the Sup." (During this time there were no departures out the north gate, nor were there any departures during the entire time this event occurred including well after the aircraft entered DEN TRACON's airspace). I saw that he had no departures and that given control they had plenty of time to blend them in with traffic from the Northeast. I also didn't at this point didn't feel that I had enough time to get into it with the supervisors calling back and forth. I began to let my emotions get the best of me and this is where I could have handled it differently. I responded, "Okay, I'm going to say, he's coming. I'll tell the Sup, but he's coming. Which altitude would you like him at. He's your control." He said, "It's not approved, you've got to approve it first." I think that they hung up on me and wouldn't answer my calls. Which I understand now he may have been working traffic in a different capacity out another gate or something, but I thought he was just disregarding me. I tried to call back and thought that he was ignoring me, so I tried to explain that the flight was critical and he was basically trying to stop an ambulance at a red light which is ridiculous. At this point I reached out to the MEDEVAC who should have the right of way and tried to explain what was going on and that I would fight for them to see how they wanted me to proceed. The basic answer was that they said that they would like me to see what I could do and that made me determined to fight for their right-of-way as defined in the 7110.65. (Again, in hindsight I could have handled it differently I realize that I was being Bull-headed and at this point maybe unprofessional.) I called North departure back and they picked up and asked if I was declaring an emergency for the MEDEVAC. I said that I would if I had to and then I tried to argue the point. I was upset and during my rant I think that they hung up again. I went back to the MEDEVAC and told them that the only way they would let them in is if I [requested priority] for them which I said that I was doing and that I would need their information as if it was an emergency. They said not to [give them priority] because they didn't want to stir the pot, but I was upset and I wanted to fight for what I thought was the right thing to do at the time. I [requested priority] for them because a turn at that point would be significant and head them towards weather. They said okay and gave me their information, 5 souls on board and 3 hrs of fuel remaining. The supervisor asked me to get the nature of the [priority] situation] and the pilot responded that it was a critical patient that needed to get to the hospital. TRACON had taken the hand off by this point and I then shipped the MEDEVAC to approaches frequency. Another pilot thanked me for standing up for the MEDEVAC and I was basically in tears over the situation. Another controller came to get me out and I did my best to give the briefing, but I was very upset. Maybe I don't have the big picture all of the time and there could very well be a good reason to send a MEDEVAC on a critical mission over to an arrival gate sometimes, but there is also something so wrong about simply instructing controllers to deny any and all priority flights where people's lives could be at stake and who need the most expeditious handling possible. Whoever is telling them to do that is stripping the TRACON controller's ability to use good judgment in each situation and going against their own document that clearly said that APREQS would be reviewed and accepted on a case-by-case basis. They are flat out denying everything regardless of the scenario for what reasons I cannot fathom, but it uncaring un-dutiful and UNSAFE. I would suggest that someone step in to allow APREQS during low traffic periods an on a case-by-case basis more often.

Synopsis

Denver Center Controllers reported problems with MEDEVAC aircraft and coordination with D01 TRACON.

ACN: 1846356 (48 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202110 Local Time Of Day : 1201-1800

Place

Locale Reference.ATC Facility : D01.TRACON State Reference : CO

Aircraft

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.TRACON : D01 Make Model Name : Light Transport, Low Wing, 2 Turbojet Eng Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Descent Airspace.Class E : ZDV

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Reporter Organization : Government Function.Air Traffic Control : Other / Unknown Qualification.Air Traffic Control : Fully Certified Experience.Air Traffic Control.Time Certified In Pos 1 (yrs) : 7 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1846356 Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Human Factors : Confusion Human Factors : Situational Awareness Human Factors : Training / Qualification Human Factors : Workload Human Factors : Time Pressure Communication Breakdown.Party1 : ATC Communication Breakdown.Party2 : ATC

Events

Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Deviation - Track / Heading : All Types Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Clearance Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control When Detected : In-flight Result.Air Traffic Control : Separated Traffic Result.Air Traffic Control : Provided Assistance

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Procedure

Narrative: 1

Aircraft X was a MEDEVAC aircraft arriving APA. ZDV initiated a "Medical Emergency" for this aircraft to try to force this aircraft direct to APA. Sector 27 at ZDV coordinated a "medical emergency" with DR4 without passing any pertinent information either to DR4 or via the phone to the supervisor. Aircraft X checked in with DR4, and the controller asked for more information regarding the emergency, and were informed by the pilot of Aircraft X that they weren't a medical emergency, however there was another actual Medical emergency on the previous frequency (Aircraft Y arriving DEN). ZDV regularly misapplies the intent of priority handling of MEDEVAC aircraft to allow the aircraft to proceed direct destination, and out of the traffic management initiatives involved at the destination airport. We aren't sure whether the Sector 27 controller confused the two medical aircraft, or they were willfully disregarding LOA procedures and TMI's. We have discussed MEDEVAC handling at length with ZDV and stated that their interpretation of what priority handling means is different in a terminal environment that it may be in a center environment. Often times, the best place for ALL aircraft, including MEDEVAC is on the appropriate STARS or LOA arrival routings. This allows D01 to more effectively and expeditiously handle all traffic in the terminal environment. This allows for a safer product for all traffic, and often provides MEDEVAC aircraft more expeditious service than direct destination.

Synopsis

Denver TRACON Controller reported a problem associated with Denver Center and how the two facilities work MEDEVAC aircraft.

ACN: 1846353 (49 of 50)

Time / Day

Date : 202110 Local Time Of Day : 0601-1200

Place

Locale Reference.ATC Facility : D01.TRACON State Reference : CO Altitude.MSL.Single Value : 21000

Aircraft: 1

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.TRACON : D01 Make Model Name : Small Transport, Low Wing, 2 Turbojet Eng Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Flight Plan : IFR Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Descent Airspace.Class E : ZDV

Aircraft : 2

Reference : Y ATC / Advisory.Center : ZDV Make Model Name : Small Aircraft, Low Wing, 2 Eng, Retractable Gear Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 2 Flight Plan : IFR Flight Phase : Initial Climb Airspace.Class E : ZDV

Person

Location Of Person.Facility : D01.TRACON Reporter Organization : Government Function.Air Traffic Control : Other / Unknown Qualification.Air Traffic Control : Fully Certified Experience.Air Traffic Control.Time Certified In Pos 1 (yrs) : 11 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1846353 Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Human Factors : Situational Awareness Human Factors : Confusion Communication Breakdown.Party1 : ATC Communication Breakdown.Party2 : ATC

Events

Anomaly.ATC Issue : All Types Anomaly.Conflict : Airborne Conflict Anomaly.Deviation - Track / Heading : All Types Anomaly.Deviation / Discrepancy - Procedural : Published Material / Policy Detector.Person : Air Traffic Control When Detected : In-flight Result.Air Traffic Control : Provided Assistance Result.Air Traffic Control : Issued Advisory / Alert Result.Air Traffic Control : Issued New Clearance

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Procedure

Narrative: 1

I am reporting this event after it was brought to my attention by the controller working the sector. I reviewed and derived details of the event from the FALCON replay. XA: 11Z: ZDV apreqs Aircraft X direct to APA as "Critical" which would have the aircraft track over SOLAR on the D01/ZDV boundary. No altitude was specified. The DR4 controller accepts the apreq. This aircraft should have originally be assigned the ZOMBZ3 arrival. XA: 21Z: DR4 conducts a position relief briefing without mentioning that an aircraft had been approved direct APA. XA: 30Z: ZDV Sector 41 initiates a hand off of Aircraft X roughly 10 miles south of SOLAR descending out of FL240 to 17,000. ZDV41 calls DR4 and states that they weren't sure what was coordinated as the coordination was roughly 30 minutes prior, they also request control on Aircraft Y. Aircraft Y had been a departure from APA pointed out from SR4 to DR4 to climb to FL200 for the ALS transition, which routes over SOLAR southbound. This aircraft was in direct conflict with Aircraft X as Aircraft X was assigned 17,000 from ZDV without coordination. DR4 stops Aircraft X at FL210 as soon as the pilot checked in. No LoSS occurred. DR has to then vector and descend Aircraft X through several DEN departures well east of APA and sequence Aircraft X behind slower turboprop traffic because that was the only place to effectively fit them into the flow of APA traffic. Had Aircraft X been routed via the ZOMBZ3 arrival, they would have been de-conflicted with Aircraft Y and all of the DEN traffic. Aircraft X would have likely been cleared direct to APA within D01 airspace and expedited to the airfield because there was less traffic west of APA. We are having a significant issue between ZDV and D01 regarding priority handling of MEDEVAC aircraft. Situations like this highlight exactly the reasons we have tried to explain to ZDV that simply direct destination is NOT advantageous to all aircraft. In fact, it often times delays MEDEVAC aircraft even more and is clearly unsafe. We have discussed this issue with NATCA and Management at ZDV several times now, however they refuse to comply with the LOA because their interpretation of priority handling is not consistent with reality of the NAS in general, and expeditious terminal operations.

Synopsis

D01 TRACON Controller reported issues with a MEDEVAC aircraft not being able to get an expedited routing and becoming a conflict with another aircraft.

Time / Day

Date : 202109 Local Time Of Day : 1801-2400

Place

Locale Reference.Airport : ZZZ.Airport State Reference : US Relative Position.Angle.Radial : 090 Relative Position.Distance.Nautical Miles : 2 Altitude.AGL.Single Value : 100

Environment

Flight Conditions : VMC Weather Elements / Visibility : Turbulence Light : Night

Aircraft: 1

Reference : X ATC / Advisory.Tower : ZZZ Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : MBB-BK 117 All Series Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : None Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Parked Route In Use : Visual Approach

Aircraft: 2

Reference : Y ATC / Advisory.Tower : ZZZ Aircraft Operator : Air Taxi Make Model Name : EC135 Crew Size.Number Of Crew : 1 Operating Under FAR Part : Part 135 Flight Plan : None Mission : Ambulance Flight Phase : Final Approach Route In Use : Visual Approach Airspace.Class E : ZZZ

Person

Location Of Person.Aircraft : X Location In Aircraft : Flight Deck Reporter Organization : Air Taxi Function.Flight Crew : Pilot Flying Function.Flight Crew : Single Pilot Qualification.Flight Crew : Instrument Qualification.Flight Crew : Commercial Experience.Flight Crew.Total : 3500 Experience.Flight Crew.Last 90 Days : 100 Experience.Flight Crew.Type : 3375 ASRS Report Number.Accession Number : 1841860 Human Factors : Communication Breakdown Human Factors : Time Pressure Human Factors : Situational Awareness Communication Breakdown.Party1 : Flight Crew Communication Breakdown.Party2 : Ground Personnel

Events

Anomaly.Conflict : Ground Conflict, Critical Detector.Person : Flight Crew Miss Distance.Horizontal : 900 Miss Distance.Vertical : 75 When Detected : Pre-flight Result.Flight Crew : Took Evasive Action

Assessments

Contributing Factors / Situations : Human Factors Contributing Factors / Situations : Procedure Primary Problem : Ambiguous

Narrative: 1

I was the PIC of the BK117, shutdown on top of the ZZZ helipad. I began my overnight shift at XA:00 that evening. After delivering a patient to the hospital, I was awaiting the medical crew's return from delivering the patient to the hospital. Two of the medical crew had returned to the helicopter to configure the medical equipment for the return flight to our home base of ZZZ1. As I was waiting for the crew to finish, I noticed an approaching helicopter from the southeast direction. It has been confirmed this helicopter is operated by Company X. I checked my company pilot phone to see if I had missed a call from our dispatch/communications (comm) center alerting me to an incoming Air Ambulance. This is common practice amongst the dispatch/communication centers of Air Ambulance companies in order to alert pilots of incoming aircraft in order to vacate the helipad and reposition to either an airborne holding area or to a ground holding area at ZZZ2. I did not have a missed call so I called [my company] comm center to inquire about the helicopter approaching and now in a downwind leg (south to north) to the helipad. [They] had no knowledge of the approaching helicopter. This is the first breakdown in the chain of events and the primary contributing factor. The Company X dispatch failed to alert [my] communications center of the inbound aircraft to the ZZZ Helipad. Additional contributing factor: a helipad camera exists at the hospital entrance doors adjacent to the helipad always focused on the helipad. Typically, ZZZ hospital Security personnel monitor the helipad camera when helicopters are inbound and/or shutdown on the helipad. Reportedly, the ZZZ Hospital Security team was not alerted to the inbound H135 Air Ambulance. During my phone call to [my company] comm center, the H135 continued it's approach from downwind to base leg and to final approach. It became quite apparent that the PIC did not see the helicopter shutdown on the pad. As the helicopter continued the approach, I began to guickly move from the helipad to the catwalk connecting the pad to the hospital and yelled at the two medical crew-members to get off the helipad. The H135 continued it's final approach for landing as the medical crew also quickly vacated the helipad. [My company] comm center phone calls are recorded, without exception for this phone call. The urgency in my voice alerting the medical crew can clearly be heard on the recording as I believed our safety was compromised. The H135 began a wave-off maneuver at

approximately 300 meters north of the helipad and 50-75 above helipad elevation (100 feet AGL). As the H135 continued it's wave-off, the PIC side-stepped to the east to avoid low overflight of my shutdown helicopter on the helipad. The H135 PIC continued the wave-off over the adjacent hospital building and established an airborne holding area to the southeast. It is unknown if the H135 PIC was aided with Night Vision Goggles (NVGs) at this point in the evening. Official sunset had occurred at approximately XA: 15 that evening and end of evening civil or nautical twilight had not yet occurred. I also do not know the typical procedures for this specific PIC or operator concerning NVG practices flying into/out of metropolitan areas. In my experience, I remain aided when landing to metropolitan hospital helipads as an aid to identifying hazards that I may not be able to visually acquire unaided. An additional unknown element is whether or not ZZZ2 ATC tower advised the H135 PIC of the presence of a helicopter shutdown on the ZZZ Hospital helipad. In my experience, the ZZZ2 Tower frequently advises me if a helicopter is on the helipad after my initial Tower check-in. I do know, however, that there was communication between the H135 PIC and the ZZZ2 Tower at some point during the incident. Upon powering on my avionics during my start sequence, I overheard the H135 PIC communicating with the ZZZ2 Tower of my presence on the helipad. Finally, though this appears to be a communication breakdown in normal operating procedures, I believe this incident could have been avoided even with the multiple occurrences of communication breakdown if the helipad had Pilot Controlled Lighting (PCL) available for incoming Air Ambulance Helicopters. Currently, the lighting system is only controlled by a rheostat (timing only, not intensity) from inside the hospital on the helipad floor leading to the helipad.

Synopsis

Air ambulance helicopter pilot reported that prior to engine start and while waiting to depart the hospital helipad, a critical ground conflict occurred when another helicopter attempted to land at the same location. The reporter cited, as contributing, that dispatch had not notified the crew of the inbound helicopter